ISSE
International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology
pISSN 2964-7118 eISSN 2830-604X

PARENTING STYLES, EMOTIONAL RESILIENCE, AND BEHAVIORAL ADJUSTMENT AMONG URBAN ADOLESCENTS

Mila Hariani, Fayola Issalillah, José Mendes Corte-Real

Univesitas Sunan Giri Surabaya, Universidade Oriental Timor Lorosa'e

correspondence: fayola.issalillah@gmail.com

Abstract - *This literature review explores the relationship between parenting patterns and adolescent mental health in metropolitan environments. Drawing upon academic sources from multiple disciplines, the review synthesizes empirical and theoretical findings to identify how caregiving practices impact emotional and behavioral outcomes in youth. The analysis highlights that authoritative parenting, which balances responsiveness with firm guidance, consistently correlates with psychological resilience. In contrast, authoritarian, neglectful, or inconsistent patterns are frequently linked to internalizing disorders such as anxiety and depression. The discussion extends these findings by examining urban-specific factors including socioeconomic stress, cultural variation, peer influence, and digital exposure, all of which modulate the effects of parenting on adolescent psychological development. Gender differences and cultural interpretations are considered as critical mediators in the parenting-mental health dynamic. The review concludes that urban parenting requires adaptive frameworks capable of responding to the heightened demands of metropolitan life. These insights offer valuable implications for policy, family counseling, and youth mental health services.*

Keywords: parenting patterns, adolescent mental health, urban adolescence, emotional regulation, family dynamics, psychological resilience, youth development.

INTRODUCTION

Urban adolescence is characterized by rapid developmental transitions occurring within dense, competitive, and often overstimulating environments. As young individuals navigate educational, social, and cultural pressures, their psychological equilibrium is frequently tested. Within this dynamic, the family remains a primary social institution responsible for the regulation of behavior, the reinforcement of emotional safety, and the early establishment of coping frameworks. Parenting, as a relational and behavioral construct, has been extensively documented as a determinant of psychological well-being during the adolescent years. In cities marked by diversity and fragmentation, the influence of parenting is rendered more complex by socioeconomic stress, environmental instability, and technological saturation (Rawlett et al., 2019).

Several academic contributions emphasize that parenting style significantly correlates with the emotional and behavioral outcomes of children. Authoritative approaches, typified by warmth, structure, and autonomy support, are consistently associated with psychological resilience. In contrast, authoritarian, permissive, and neglectful patterns have been linked to maladjustment, increased anxiety, and depressive symptoms among youth. These findings are not uniform across contexts, as metropolitan conditions introduce variables such as reduced familial interaction, heightened academic expectations, and peer pressure that may moderate or amplify parenting effects (Patil, 2017).

In metropolitan settings, adolescents often face unique stressors including anonymity, exposure to violence, competitive schooling systems, and shifting cultural norms. These stressors interact with the nature and consistency of parental support, making mental health outcomes more variable than in less complex environments. Parenting that fails to adapt to these urban dynamics may unintentionally contribute to internal distress, social withdrawal, or behavioral dysregulation in adolescents. Therefore, examining the influence of parental behaviors within this setting offers critical insight into the preservation and promotion of mental health (Hedge et al., 2017).

Literature focusing on this domain seeks to explore how various parenting styles function under the structural demands of urban life. Researchers investigate whether traditional parenting classifications maintain their relevance and predictive power when applied to metropolitan youth populations. In doing so, they consider factors such as parental availability, digital supervision, intergenerational conflict, and value transmission. These inquiries reveal the need for a nuanced understanding of how parent-child interactions influence emotional development under the specific pressures of city living (Perry et al., 2015).

Despite the proliferation of studies on adolescent mental health, few have comprehensively addressed the relational dimensions of parenting in large urban centers (Khayru & Issalillah, 2022). Baumrind (1991) noted that parenting style serves as a regulatory system for child behavior, but the adaptability of this system is rarely scrutinized within urbanized environments. The shifting structures of family life—due to dual-income households, migration, and digital disruption—render classical frameworks insufficient unless reinterpreted in light of urban complexity. Positive parenting behavior is a robust predictor of child and adolescent psychosocial adjustment, however, contextual factors that relate to parenting itself are not well understood (Cuellar et al., 2015).

Parker, Tupling, and Brown (1979) proposed that perceived parental care and protection were strong indicators of psychological vulnerability or resilience in youth. However, their findings predate the dramatic socio-environmental changes of the twenty-first century. Urban adolescents today operate in atmospheres defined by hyperconnectivity and social exposure, where parenting interventions may need to shift from direct behavioral control toward the cultivation of internal regulation and relational presence. Kullberg et al. (2020), the psychometric properties of the Parental Bonding Instrument (PBI) and its relationship with lifetime depression and anxiety disorders were examined. They found that perceptions of parenting styles, measured through the dimensions of care and protection, have a significant association with psychological disorders in adults.

Lamborn et al. (1991) examined adolescent adjustment in relation to parenting style and found that authoritative parenting consistently produced the most adaptive outcomes across domains. Still, this study was largely situated in suburban and controlled settings. The application of its findings to urban environments must consider the density of environmental stimuli and the competitive social architecture that influences adolescent self-perception, stress tolerance, and peer alignment. Martinez et al. (2019) developed and validated the parental upbringing scale (ESPA29) measuring two main dimensions, namely acceptance/involvement and control/monitoring, which show that an authoritative parenting style is positively related to adolescents' adjustment in different cultural contexts.

Understanding the interaction between parenting and adolescent mental health in cities requires attention due to increasing reports of emotional disorders among youth. Depression, anxiety, and social withdrawal have become more visible in urban secondary schools and universities, raising questions about familial influence. Public health surveys and educational assessments increasingly identify parenting quality as an indirect variable shaping adolescent resilience and psychological agency within metropolitan contexts.

Academic investigations must therefore clarify how parenting constructs interface with the mental architecture of urban adolescents. Since many mental health trajectories emerge during teenage years, and parental influence remains central to early cognitive-emotional formation, the literature must map these dynamics with theoretical precision and empirical rigor. Parenting in cities may require a reevaluation of expectations, disciplinary models, and emotional communication styles to accommodate the lived realities of urban youth.

This literature review aims to examine the relationship between parenting styles and adolescent mental health outcomes within metropolitan contexts. The objective is to identify how caregiving practices influence emotional stability, psychological resilience, and behavioral adjustment among urban youth. The review intends to provide a structured interpretation of existing studies, outline key theoretical insights, and highlight empirical findings that may inform more adaptive parenting interventions in complex urban settings.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopts a qualitative literature review methodology with a thematic synthesis approach, intended to explore and interpret academic works that examine the influence of parenting patterns on adolescent mental health within urban environments. The selection of this method reflects the need to integrate diverse theoretical frameworks and empirical findings from interdisciplinary sources, including developmental psychology, urban sociology, and educational counseling. As noted by Booth, Papaioannou, and Sutton (2012), literature reviews of this nature allow researchers to trace conceptual patterns, assess methodological diversity, and evaluate the coherence of scholarly perspectives over time. The primary aim is to construct a comprehensive narrative that captures how different parenting behaviors correspond with mental health indicators among urban adolescents.

Sources for the review were selected through systematic searches of academic databases. Inclusion criteria emphasized conceptual relevance, methodological clarity, and geographical focus on urban or metropolitan populations. To ensure a structured examination, the study follows the thematic analysis model advanced by Braun and Clarke (2006), allowing for the identification of recurrent ideas and theoretical constructs. Key themes such as emotional support, parental monitoring, autonomy facilitation, and psychological vulnerability were extracted and analyzed in relation to adolescent mental health outcomes. This approach facilitates an interpretive synthesis of literature that spans various disciplinary orientations while maintaining a consistent focus on caregiving dynamics and adolescent psychological states in densely populated settings.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The developmental trajectory of adolescents is intricately shaped by the interactions they experience within the family structure. While various external variables influence youth in their formative years, the household remains a central arena where emotional regulation, behavioral norms, and psychological resilience are first established. Parental guidance, whether implicit or structured, sets the tone for how adolescents interpret challenges, form relationships, and respond to internal distress. The subtleties of this dynamic gain increasing relevance as society grows more urbanized and complex (Rattay et al., 2018).

Urban environments subject adolescents to heightened sensory stimuli, accelerated social transformations, and shifting cultural norms. Within this context of rapid urbanization and volatility, the presence of stable and responsive parental engagement emerges as a critical protective factor for adolescent development. Multiple scholarly contributions underscore that adolescents residing in urban areas are uniquely susceptible to multifaceted stressors—ranging from academic demands and peer group influence to the pervasive impact of digital communities—each of which can exert considerable psychological pressure.

The family unit, and particularly the quality of parental involvement, serves as a crucial anchor in preserving adolescents' psychosocial equilibrium amidst these dynamic urban influences. Research indicates that consistent parental structure and emotional attunement not only provide adolescents with a sense of security and predictability but also foster adaptive coping mechanisms in the face of external stressors (Steinberg & Silk, 2002). Conversely, deficiencies in parental availability or affective responsiveness have been empirically linked to elevated risk for maladaptive outcomes, such as emotional dysregulation, academic disengagement, and increased vulnerability to negative peer influence (Repetti, Taylor, & Seeman, 2002).

The cultivation of stable caregiving relationships in urban families is indispensable for supporting adolescents' resilience. In the absence of structured parental guidance and emotional support, adolescents' capacity to effectively negotiate the multiple and often conflicting demands of urban life is substantially undermined (Kaneez, 2015). These findings highlight the imperative for families, educators, and policymakers to prioritize interventions that strengthen parental engagement as an essential buffer in promoting adolescent well-being and adaptive development within the urban milieu.

The psychological well-being of adolescents does not merely depend on material resources or access to education (Masfufah & Darmawan, 2023). It hinges significantly on the presence of caregivers who model empathy, provide boundaries, and offer reflective listening. Parenting, in this respect, operates as an emotional compass during the identity-forming years of adolescence. In densely populated areas, where anonymity and overstimulation can blur moral and emotional bearings, the influence of parenting becomes a decisive variable in mental health trajectories.

Scholarly exploration into adolescent adjustment has increasingly emphasized the importance of relational dynamics over disciplinary methods alone. It is through day-to-day interactions that young individuals internalize norms, develop coping strategies, and learn to evaluate their emotions. The framework of parenting styles offers a conceptual lens to understand these influences with greater clarity (Safira et al., 2021). Each approach to parenting communicates different messages about autonomy, authority, and affection—messages that directly impact the adolescent psyche.

Urban adolescence introduces particular challenges that require specific emotional scaffolding. The presence of authoritative parenting, marked by structured guidance and emotional responsiveness, has consistently been associated with healthier psychological outcomes. Such a model affirms independence while reinforcing boundaries, thereby equipping adolescents with tools for adaptive functioning (Kurniawan & Darmawan, 2021). This becomes particularly salient in metropolitan areas, where external expectations often exceed the internal readiness of youth to cope alone.

Within this academic landscape, Baumrind's (1991) contributions continue to serve as a foundational framework. Her typology highlights the spectrum of parental control and responsiveness, offering insight into the effects of various caregiving approaches. Authoritative parenting emerges as a protective factor, associated with reduced incidence of mood disorders, behavioral dysregulation, and emotional withdrawal. Its relevance remains profound in shaping conversations around mental health support for adolescents in modern cities (Khayru & Issalillah, 2022). Piko and Balazs (2012) in previous studies, children whose parents had an authoritative style of parenting reported the best behavioral and psychological outcomes.

Steinberg (2001) extended this framework by emphasizing the role of autonomy support in adolescent psychological resilience. In urban environments marked by intense peer competition and diverse social cues, adolescents who perceive their parents as supportive of independent thinking and self-expression exhibit stronger self-esteem and emotional stability (Warin, 2023). This correlation suggests that adolescents benefit not merely from structure but from relational flexibility that accommodates individuality within parental boundaries. Autonomy-supportive interventions can increase students' agency engagement, develop adolescents' psychological resilience (Reeve et al., 2020).

Barber (1996) introduced the concept of psychological control, which includes intrusive parenting behaviors such as guilt induction and love withdrawal. His findings demonstrate that psychological control correlates with increased levels of internalizing disorders, particularly depression and generalized anxiety, among adolescents in large cities. Urban adolescents are especially vulnerable to such control due to existing social pressures and diminished extended family support, which amplifies their reliance on parental approval. Li et al. (2020) effortful control in children moderates the relationship between parental psychological control and depressive symptoms in adolescents. Psychological control includes parental behaviors that interfere with children's psychological independence, such as guilt and withdrawal of affection.

Mounts (2002) identified the mediating effect of peer influence on parenting outcomes, asserting that adolescents in cities navigate complex peer environments where external validation is highly sought. In such contexts, authoritative parenting buffers against negative peer conformity by reinforcing self-regulatory capacities and internalized standards. Conversely, neglectful and permissive parenting allows unfiltered peer influence to dominate, increasing susceptibility to risk behaviors and emotional instability. Supportive parenting may enhance a child's self-esteem, self-efficacy, and confidence by increasing the child's perception that he or she can cope effectively with low peer acceptance (Zarra et al., 2019).

Urban stressors, including academic pressure, safety concerns, and digital exposure, intensify the psychological demands placed on adolescents. According to Conger and Donnellan (2007), economic strain in metropolitan households often affects parenting consistency, leading to emotional unavailability or inconsistent discipline. These disruptions are linked to heightened cortisol levels and chronic stress responses in adolescents, which manifest in mood dysregulation, sleep disturbances, and somatic complaints (Djazilan & Darmawan, 2021). Neppl et al. (2016) economic hardship increases the risk of behavioral problems, mental disorders and physical health problems, and puts children in economically disadvantaged families at risk of adjustment difficulties.

Research by Gray and Steinberg (1999) revealed that adolescent perceptions of parental fairness and respect significantly predicted lower levels of delinquency and psychological distress. In dense urban environments, where adolescents are exposed to alternative authority figures and competing value systems, parental integrity and consistency function as stabilizing forces (Fajar et al., 2021). When parenting lacks coherence, adolescents may experience identity confusion and disorientation. Adolescents' perceptions of parental fairness and respect, reflected in authoritative parenting, are associated with reduced delinquent behavior and risk of becoming a crime victim (Xiong et al., 2020).

Brennan, Le Brocque, and Hammen (2003) reported that maternal warmth and low hostility serve as protective factors against depressive symptoms in adolescents, even when environmental risks are present. In urban contexts, these protective effects are magnified due to the relative scarcity of emotionally secure spaces. A nurturing parent-child bond provides not only emotional scaffolding but also mitigates external stressors that might otherwise overwhelm the adolescent's coping capacities. Lucier et al. (2021) maternal warmth serves as a protective factor against depressive symptoms in adolescents, even under environmental risk conditions such as military families.

A study by Herman, Ostrander, and Tucker (2002) found that parental involvement in school-related activities is inversely related to adolescent psychological problems. Urban adolescents, who often perceive institutional settings as impersonal, respond positively to parental engagement that signals interest and investment in their academic lives. Such involvement reinforces self-worth and buffers against disengagement and academic stress, both of which are contributors to depressive and anxious states. Parental involvement in school activities is negatively associated with adolescent psychological problems, suggesting that parental involvement may protect adolescents from mental health problems (Wang et al., 2019).

Luthar and Latendresse (2005) discussed the paradox of affluent urban adolescents, noting that high parental expectations without emotional support contribute to perfectionism, anxiety, and substance misuse. Their findings suggest that material resources cannot compensate for relational deficiencies, and that mental health is more closely tied to emotional availability than to socioeconomic privilege. In competitive urban schools, students often internalize pressure, and without empathetic parental guidance, psychological vulnerabilities increase. High academic pressure and lack of emotional support contribute to an increased risk of substance abuse in young adulthood (Luthar et al., 2018).

Gonzales et al. (2006) examined ethnic minority adolescents in metropolitan areas and concluded that parenting style interacts with cultural orientation in shaping mental health outcomes. Parental control perceived as caring in collectivist frameworks may be interpreted as oppressive in individualist paradigms (Sinambela & Mardikaningsih, 2022). Urban parenting must therefore account for the cultural diversity of adolescent interpretations, as mismatched expectations can exacerbate emotional conflict. Strong familism can help adolescents avoid externalizing behaviors, especially when combined with a supportive parenting style (Mahrer et al., 2019).

The digital environment further complicates parenting in urban settings. Valkenburg, Peter, and Schouten (2006) reported that online interactions influence self-concept and emotional well-being. Parental mediation of digital exposure has been linked to improved psychological outcomes, especially when guidance is dialogic rather than restrictive. Adolescents who engage in digital reflection with their parents demonstrate greater emotional awareness and lower susceptibility to online-induced stress. In relation to entertainment, when parents have a supportive parenting style, active mediation is more effective in reducing internet use whereas when parents have a reject-control parenting style, retrictive mediation is more effective in reducing internet use (Ren & Zhu, 2022).

Gender dynamics also intersect with parenting practices. Leadbeater et al. (1999) found that adolescent girls report higher sensitivity to emotional neglect and criticism, which can contribute to internalizing symptoms in urban schools. Boys, on the other hand, may express distress through externalizing behaviors such as aggression or defiance. Parenting strategies that are emotionally attuned and gender-sensitive are therefore crucial for promoting balanced mental health development. Emotional neglect in childhood can predict symptoms of internalizing disorders in adolescent girls through difficulties in emotion regulation (Erfanifar et al., 2019).

The frequency and quality of communication between parents and adolescents emerge consistently as predictors of mental health. Barnes and Olson (1985) emphasized that open, respectful dialogue correlates with lower levels of depression, better self-concept, and reduced conduct problems. In urban contexts, where adolescents face ideological pluralism and rapid cultural shifts, communication must evolve to incorporate listening, validation, and moral reasoning. Open communication between fathers and adolescents is negatively correlated with symptoms of anxiety and depression in adolescents (Ioffe et al., 2020).

Parental modeling of emotional regulation serves as a core mechanism of character development. According to Eisenberg et al. (1998), adolescents imitate parental responses to stress, frustration, and disappointment. Parents who model constructive coping strategies foster emotional intelligence and resilience in their children. In metropolitan settings, where daily life includes exposure to conflict and overstimulation, these skills are vital for psychological endurance. Parents who show expressions of anger and irritability affect the development of adolescents' emotion regulation and may affect their socioemotional functioning. A hard upbringing can hinder adolescents' emotional development and increase the risk of problematic behaviors (Di Giunta et al., 2020).

Finally, long-term mental health trajectories are influenced by early and sustained parental support. Werner and Smith (2001) demonstrated in their longitudinal study that children exposed to nurturing and structured caregiving in early adolescence exhibit fewer psychiatric disorders in adulthood. Urban families who prioritize relational stability, despite external disruptions, create protective developmental environments that persist across life stages. The quality of the family environment in childhood has a long-lasting impact on an individual's ability to establish and maintain secure and satisfying intimate relationships later in life, making the role of parents in shaping children's emotion regulation skills and healthy attachment important (Waldinger & Schulz, 2016).

CONCLUSIONS

Parenting patterns exert significant influence on adolescent mental health, particularly in the complexity of urban life. The literature consistently demonstrates that authoritative parenting, marked by warmth and clear expectations, supports emotional resilience and adaptive behavior in metropolitan adolescents. Conversely, controlling, neglectful, or inconsistent parenting is frequently associated with increased risk for anxiety, depression, and behavioral instability. These relationships are further shaped by urban-specific variables such as economic pressure, cultural pluralism, digital exposure, and peer dynamics, all of which interact with familial structures in shaping psychological outcomes. Thus, parenting cannot be understood in isolation from the sociocultural and environmental realities of the city.

The findings suggest that interventions aimed at improving adolescent mental health in urban settings must consider family dynamics as a core variable. Policymakers, educators, and mental health professionals should prioritize parental education programs that emphasize emotional communication, consistent boundaries, and adaptive supervision. Furthermore, mental health initiatives should be tailored to account for the cultural, socioeconomic, and gendered nuances that influence how adolescents interpret and respond to parenting. Integrating family-oriented approaches into public health planning will enhance both prevention and treatment strategies for youth psychological well-being in cities.

Educational institutions, healthcare systems, and community organizations in urban areas should collaborate in designing outreach programs that support parents through practical training and psychosocial resources. Research efforts must continue to investigate the long-term impact of urban parenting on mental health, particularly in underrepresented communities. Culturally sensitive assessment tools should be developed to capture the complexities of parenting influence across diverse populations. Additionally, digital literacy and relational mediation in online contexts should become key components in future parenting models responsive to contemporary adolescent realities.

REFERENCES

Barber, B. K. (1996). Parental Psychological Control: Revisiting a Neglected Construct. Child Development, 67(6), 3296–3319.

Barnes, H. L., & Olson, D. H. (1985). Parent-Adolescent Communication and the Circumplex Model. Child Development, 56(2), 438–447.

Baumrind, D. (1991). The Influence of Parenting Style on Adolescent Competence and Substance Use. Journal of Early Adolescence, 11(1), 56–95.

Booth, A., Papaioannou, D., & Sutton, A. (2012). Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review. Sage Publications. London.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101.

Brennan, P. A., Le Brocque, R., & Hammen, C. (2003). Maternal Depression, Parent-Child Relationships, and Resilient Outcomes in Adolescence. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 42(12), 1469–1477.

Conger, R. D., & Donnellan, M. B. (2007). An Interactionist Perspective on the Socioeconomic Context of Human Development. Annual Review of Psychology, 58(1), 175–199.

Cuellar, J., Jones, D. J., & Sterrett, E. (2015). Examining Parenting in the Neighborhood Context: A Review. Journal of Child and Family Studies, 24, 195-219.

Di Giunta, L., Rothenberg, W. A., Lunetti, C., Lansford, J. E., Pastorelli, C., Eisenberg, N., Thartori, E., Basli, E., Favini, A., Yotanyamaneewong, S., Pena Alampay, L., Al-Hassan, S. M., Bacchini, D., Bornstein, M. H., Chang, L., Deater-Deckard, K., Dodge, K. A., Oburu, P., Skinner, A.T., Sorbring, E., Sternberg, L., Tapanya, S., & Uribe Tirado, L. M. (2020). Longitudinal Associations between Mothers' and Fathers' Anger/Irritability Expressiveness, Harsh Parenting, and Adolescents' Socioemotional Functioning in Nine Countries. Developmental Psychology, 56(3), 458-474.

Djazilan, M.S. & D. Darmawan. (2021). The Influence of Parenting Style and School Culture on the Character of Student Discipline, Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia, 1(2), 53-64.

Eisenberg, N., Cumberland, A., & Spinrad, T. L. (1998). Parental Socialization of Emotion. Psychological Inquiry, 9(4), 241–273.

Erfanifar, F., Zarani, F., Shokri, O., & Kabiri Agh Ziarat, S. (2019). Child Neglect and Internalizing Disorders: The Mediating Role of Emotion Regulation. Quarterly Journal of Child Mental Health, 6(1), 112-123.

Fajar, A.S.M., M. Zakki, D. Darmawan, W. Evendi, & Mujito. (2021). A Socio-Psychological Analysis of Adolescents' Choice of Ideals in an Environment of Social Pressure, Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(1), 251 – 256.

Gonzales, N. A., Deardorff, J., Formoso, D., Barr, A., & Barrera Jr, M. (2006). Family Mediators of the Relation between Acculturation and Adolescent Mental Health. Family Relations, 55(3), 318–330.

Gray, M. R., & Steinberg, L. (1999). Unpacking Authoritative Parenting: Reassessing a Multidimensional Construct. Journal of Marriage and Family, 61(3), 574–587.
Herman, K. C., Ostrander, R., & Tucker, C. M. (2002). Do Family and Parenting Variables Impact the Social-Emotional Adjustment of African-American Children? Psychology in the Schools, 39(2), 133–145.
Ioffe, M., Pittman, L. D., Kochanova, K., & Pabis, J. M. (2020). Parent–Adolescent Communication Influences on Anxious and Depressive Symptoms in Early Adolescence. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 49(8), 1716-1730.
Kaneez, S. (2015). Family Environment and Psychological Well-Being among Adolescents. Indian Journal of Positive Psychology, 6(3), 306-308.
Khayru, R. K. & F. Issalillah. (2022). The Impact of Social Media on Mental Health: An Analysis of the Effects on Anxiety, Depression, and Sleep Disorders in Adolescents and Young Adults, Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(1), 95 – 102.
Kullberg, M. L., Maciejewski, D., van Schie, C. C., Penninx, B. W., & Elzinga, B. M. (2020). Parental Bonding: Psychometric Properties and Association with Lifetime Depression and Anxiety Disorders. Psychological Assessment, 32(8), 780.
Kurniawan, Y., & D. Darmawan. (2021). The Adaptive Learning Effect on Individual and Collecting Learning, Journal of Social Science Studies 1(1), 93 – 98.
Lamborn, S. D., Mounts, N. S., Steinberg, L., & Dornbusch, S. M. (1991). Patterns of Competence and Adjustment among Adolescents from Authoritative, Authoritarian, Indulgent, and Neglectful Families. Child Development, 62(5), 1049–1065.
Leadbeater, B. J., Kuperminc, G. P., Blatt, S. J., & Hertzog, C. (1999). A Multivariate Model of Gender Differences in Adolescents' Internalizing and Externalizing Problems. Developmental Psychology, 35(5), 1268–1282.
Li, Q., Fu, R., Yao, L., & Xing, S. (2020). Children's Effortful Control Moderates the Relationship between Parental Psychological Control and Adolescents' Depressive Symptoms. PsyCh journal, 9(5), 726-737.
Lucier-Greer, M., Howard, S., & A Mancini, J. (2021). Parental Relationship Quality and Adolescent Depressive Symptoms: Investigating the Role of Parental Warmth and Hostility in United States Military Families. Journal of Marital and Family Therapy, 47(3), 566-580.
Luthar, S. S., & Latendresse, S. J. (2005). Children of the Affluent: Challenges to Well-Being. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 14(1), 49–53.
Luthar, S. S., Small, P. J., & Ciciolla, L. (2018). Adolescents from Upper Middle Class Communities: Substance Misuse and Addiction Across Early Adulthood. Development and Psychopathology, 30(1), 315-335.
Mahrer, N. E., Holly, L. E., Luecken, L. J., Wolchik, S. A., & Fabricius, W. (2019). Parenting Style, Familism, and Youth Adjustment in Mexican American and European American Families. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 50(5), 659-675.
Martinez, I., Garcia, F., Fuentes, M. C., Veiga, F., Garcia, O. F., Rodrigues, Y., ... & Serra, E. (2019). Researching Parental Socialization Styles Across Three Cultural Contexts: Scale ESPA29 Bi-Dimensional Validity in Spain, Portugal, and Brazil. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16(2), 1-14.
Masfufah, M. & D. Darmawan. (2023). The Role of Parents in Preventing Gadget Addiction in Early Childhood, International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 3(3), 33–38.
Mounts, N. S. (2002). Parental Management of Adolescent Peer Relationships in Context: The Role of Parenting Style. Journal of Family Psychology, 16(1), 58–69.
Neppl, T. K., Senia, J. M., & Donnellan, M. B. (2016). Effects of Economic Hardship: Testing the Family Stress Model Over Time. Journal of Family Psychology, 30(1), 1-29.
Parker, G., Tupling, H., & Brown, L. B. (1979). A Parental Bonding Instrument. British Journal of Medical Psychology, 52(1), 1–10.
Patil, A. P. (2017). Examine the Role of Family Environment to the Development of Psychological Resilience among Urban and Rural Adolescents. The International Journal of Indian Psychology, 5(1), 77-89.
Piko, B. F., & Balázs, M. Á. (2012). Control or Involvement? Relationship between Authoritative Parenting Style and Adolescent Depressive Symptomatology. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 21, 149-155.
Rattay, P., von der Lippe, E., Mauz, E., Richter, F., Hölling, H., Lange, C., & Lampert, T. (2018). Health and Health Risk Behaviour of Adolescents—Differences According to Family Structure. Results of the German KiGGS Cohort Study. PloS one, 13(3), 1-19.
Rawlett, K. E., N'Dri, L. A., dosReis, S., & Sibinga, E. (2019). Perceived Needs for Adolescent Mental Health in an Urban Community. Journal of Pediatric Health Care, 33(6), 633-638.
Reeve, J., Cheon, S. H., & Yu, T. H. (2020). An Autonomy-Supportive Intervention to Develop Students' Resilience by Boosting Agentic Engagement. International Journal of Behavioral Development, 44(4), 325-338.
Ren, W., & Zhu, X. (2022). Parental Mediation and Adolescents' Internet Use: The Moderating Role of Parenting Style. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 51(8), 1483-1496.
Repetti, R. L., Taylor, S. E., & Seeman, T. E. (2002). Risky families: Family social environments and the mental and physical health of offspring. Psychological Bulletin, 128(2), 330–366. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.128.2.330
Safira, M. E., N. D. Aliyah, W. Evendi, & M. S. F. Yulianis. (2021). Managing Parenting Style in the Digital Age: Choices, Influences, and Social Impacts, Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(2), 15 – 20.
Steinberg, L. (2001). We Know Some Things: Parent–Adolescent Relationships in Retrospect and Prospect. Journal of Research on Adolescence, 11(1), 1–19.
Steinberg, L., & Silk, J. S. (2002). Parenting adolescents. In M. H. Bornstein (Ed.), Handbook of Parenting: Volume 1 Children and Parenting (2nd ed., pp. 103–133). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
Valkenburg, P. M., Peter, J., & Schouten, A. P. (2006). Friend Networking Sites and Their Relationship to Adolescents' Well-Being and Social Self-Esteem. CyberPsychology & Behavior, 9(5), 584–590.
Waldinger, R. J., & Schulz, M. S. (2016). The Long Reach of Nurturing Family Environments: Links with Midlife Emotion-Regulatory Styles and Late-Life Security in Intimate Relationships. Psychological Science, 27(11), 1443-1450.
Wang, C., La Salle, T. P., Do, K. A., Wu, C., & Sullivan, K. E. (2019). Does Parental Involvement Matter for Students' Mental Health in Middle School?. School Psychology, 34(2), 222-231.
Werner, E. E., & Smith, R. S. (2001). Journeys from Childhood to Midlife: Risk, Resilience, and Recovery. Cornell University Press. Ithaca.
Xiong, R., Li, S. D., & Xia, Y. (2020). A Longitudinal Study of Authoritative Parenting, Juvenile Delinquency and Crime Victimization among Chinese Adolescents. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(4), 1-15.
Hegde, A., Kamath, A., & Roy, K. (2017). Is parenting a determinant of adolescent mental health? - A population based study in South India. International Journal of Adolescent Medicine and Health. https://doi.org/10.1515/IJAMH-2015-0090
Perry, D. M., Tabb, K. M., & Mendenhall, R. (2015). Examining the Effects of Urban Neighborhoods on the Mental Health of Adolescent African American Males: A Qualitative Systematic Review. Journal of Negro Education. https://doi.org/10.7709/JNEGROEDUCATION.84.3.0254
Sinambela, E. A. & R. Mardikaningsih. (2022). Daycare Business as a Parenting Solution for Working Families, Journal of Science, Technology and Society, 3(1), 29-34.
Warin, A. K. (2023). Peer Group Dynamics and its Influence on Adolescent Risk Behavior. Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society, 2(2), 59-64.
Zarra-Nezhad, M., Moazami-Goodarzi, A., Aunola, K., Nurmi, J. E., Kiuru, N., & Lerkkanen, M. K. (2019). Supportive Parenting Buffers the Effects of Low Peer Acceptance on Children's Internalizing Problem Behaviors. Child & Youth Care Forum, 48, 865-887.