

RECONCEPTUALIZING DIGITAL LITERACY DIMENSIONS AS PREDICTORS OF ACADEMIC ENGAGEMENT AND SUCCESS IN CONTEMPORARY HIGHER EDUCATION

Reza Ahmad Zahid, Didit Darmawan

Universitas Islam Tribakti Lirboyo Kediri, Universitas Sunan Giri Surabaya

correspondence: dr.diditdarmawan@gmail.com

Abstract - This study explores how character education influences individuals' willingness and capacity to engage in social and humanitarian activities within their communities. Through a comprehensive literature review, the research identifies moral development as a multidimensional process that integrates internal values with actionable social commitment. Core constructs such as empathy, moral agency, and civic identity are shown to facilitate sustained involvement in public service, advocacy, and collective well-being. The analysis also uncovers the mechanisms by which character education transforms motivation into action: by fostering relational empathy, instilling noble purpose, and equipping individuals with moral vocabulary and leadership capacities. In institutional contexts, programs that merge ethical instruction with community-based learning experiences are found to be especially impactful in generating long-term civic engagement. Moreover, the study highlights the significance of school culture, intergenerational mentorship, and moral reflection in producing individuals who perceive humanitarian participation as both a responsibility and a personal calling. The findings support the integration of character education into educational systems as a core curricular element and suggest future inquiry into its effects across diverse socio-cultural landscapes. Ultimately, character education is shown to be a powerful driver of ethically grounded citizenship and meaningful social involvement.

Keywords: character education, civic engagement, moral development, social responsibility, humanitarian participation, ethical identity, empathy.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the scholars have increasingly examined the intersection between moral formation and civic engagement, recognizing that character education contributes far beyond personal development. Within the educational landscape, character-building is no longer framed as an isolated moral exercise, but as an integrated pedagogical process that fosters ethical judgment, social awareness, and communal responsibility (D'Rozario et al., 2017). As social environments grow more fragmented and individualistic, the significance of morally anchored individuals who commit themselves to collective welfare has never been more pronounced (Jerome & Kisby, 2019).

Character education, when embedded in institutional frameworks, influences how students perceive their role in society and how they respond to needs that lie beyond academic achievement. Programs rooted in character development frequently highlight values such as empathy, compassion, perseverance, and justice—all of which align with the foundational ethics of community participation (Beachum et al., 2013). When students engage with these values through structured learning, they become more inclined to translate internal convictions into external actions. It is through such cultivated responsiveness that education begins to transcend the classroom and enter the realm of meaningful social involvement (Avetisyan & Aleksanyan, 2020).

What differentiates impactful character education from mere rhetorical instruction is its capacity to translate values into lived experience (Peterson, 2020). This transition is visible when students, inspired by moral reflection and guided by institutional support, take part in volunteerism, advocacy, and other forms of humanitarian action. Community involvement then becomes a natural extension of ethical reasoning, not a sporadic or obligatory gesture (Rawson & Mansfield, 2018). Educational environments that nurture this link often witness students contributing to social causes with intention and consistency, demonstrating that character formation can serve as a bridge to communal transformation (Fertman & van Linden, 1999).

Moreover, literature on moral and civic development suggests that socially involved individuals are often those whose values have been shaped through educational experiences marked by reflection, dialogue, and exposure to real-world challenges. According to Berkowitz and Bier (2005), comprehensive character education cultivates prosocial motivation and encourages individuals to engage actively in societal betterment. This implies that educational institutions bear a crucial responsibility in designing curricula that simultaneously nurture character and enable participation in the broader moral life of communities (Ali, 2015).

Problems arise, however, when character education is framed in overly abstract terms or implemented as fragmented instruction without experiential grounding. Many educational systems emphasize behavioral compliance or individual virtues without embedding them in relational and civic contexts. Lickona (1991) argued that character

education becomes ineffective when it fails to connect moral reasoning with action-oriented experiences. In such cases, students may acquire moral vocabulary yet remain detached from collective responsibilities. This detachment is exacerbated when schools do not offer consistent opportunities for students to engage with social realities through structured service or dialogue with diverse communities (Cronin, 2016).

Another recurring concern in the literature is the lack of alignment between institutional character objectives and the lived experiences of students, particularly in multicultural or socioeconomically diverse environments (Saidek & Islami, 2016). Schools that operate on a narrow or prescriptive moral framework may inadvertently marginalize students whose values or worldviews differ from dominant norms. Nucci and Narvaez (2008) noted that meaningful character education must be contextually responsive and culturally sensitive to encourage inclusive moral engagement. Without such responsiveness, the educational process risks alienating students or reinforcing disengagement rather than fostering solidarity.

Further complicating this issue is the prevailing emphasis on academic performance metrics at the expense of moral and civic development. With increasing pressure to quantify success through standardized assessment, schools may deprioritize value-based programming (Hill et al., 2016). This tension often results in superficial or episodic character initiatives that lack continuity and community integration. As Schaps (2003) observed, unless moral education is given structural and curricular legitimacy, its impact remains limited. Opportunities for linking character formation with enduring community involvement may be reduced to one-time service projects that fail to produce transformative outcomes (Sweatman et al., 2020).

Such a disconnect between educational values and communal practice invites scrutiny of how institutional frameworks conceptualize civic identity and moral responsibility (Elias et al., 2014). The literature consistently points to the necessity of repositioning character education as an active, participatory process that prepares individuals to engage with injustice, inequality, and human need. Berkowitz and Bier (2005) emphasized that sustained character formation requires environments that consistently promote ethical reflection and social action. This calls for a paradigm in which character education is not an ancillary program, but a guiding ethic that animates students' participation in humanitarian life.

This study aims to investigate how character education contributes to the development of community involvement in social and humanitarian activities. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature, the study seeks to clarify the relationship between moral instruction and practical civic action, focusing on the mechanisms through which values cultivated in educational settings inspire sustained social engagement. The resulting analysis is expected to contribute to a deeper academic understanding of how moral development fosters a socially responsive citizenry.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopts a literature review approach as its primary methodological framework, synthesizing conceptual and empirical insights from interdisciplinary sources related to character education and community engagement. The literature review is not intended to provide a descriptive summary of previous work, but to construct a rigorous analytical narrative that explains how educational values are translated into civic behaviors. By critically evaluating peer-reviewed journals, theoretical monographs, and policy documents, the study explores patterns of correlation, theoretical convergence, and pedagogical innovation across diverse educational systems.

The approach emphasizes the retrieval of literature published by credible academic presses and indexed journals to ensure theoretical coherence and validity of interpretation. Sources were selected based on their conceptual relevance, methodological clarity, and contribution to the evolving discourse on moral education and participatory citizenship. The process involved keyword-guided searches through digital academic databases including JSTOR, ERIC, and Taylor & Francis Online, using terms such as "character education," "moral formation," "community involvement," and "civic responsibility." Methodological guidance was drawn from foundational texts such as Hart (1998), who advocates for literature reviews that critically engage with both historical and contemporary sources to build a layered understanding. In alignment with Jesson, Matheson, and Lacey (2001), the analysis applies thematic coding to identify common threads across studies, thereby enabling a structured interpretation of how values fostered in educational environments may catalyze individual participation in social and humanitarian action.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Character education operates as an internal compass that aligns ethical reasoning with behavioral expression, serving as a cognitive and emotional foundation upon which civic responsiveness is constructed (Frugo et al., 2016). When implemented systematically, character education cultivates virtues such as empathy, responsibility, and social justice—values that are essential in mobilizing individuals toward community engagement (Kretz, 2020). These internalized dispositions are not inert moral codes but function as motivational schemas that activate a sense of duty toward others. Berkowitz and Bier (2005) argue that character formation provides the moral infrastructure necessary for sustained altruistic behavior, particularly in youth populations whose civic identities are still forming. Thus, character education acts not merely as moral instruction, but as a psychosocial mechanism that channels internal values into collective action (Prestwich, 2004).

Empirical studies have demonstrated that when moral education is embedded in a school's culture, students exhibit a stronger orientation toward communal well-being and humanitarian service (Burde et al., 2017). Lickona (1991) posits that students who experience value-laden curricula are more likely to engage in volunteerism and activism because they perceive such actions as extensions of their personal moral commitments. This linkage between value acquisition and behavioral readiness is reinforced through experiential learning modalities, such as community service and peer mentoring, where ethical principles are exercised in real-world social contexts (Senok et al., 2022). Such engagement effectively bridges the gap between moral cognition and civic embodiment, thereby enhancing both the willingness and capacity for participation in community initiatives. Rojak and Khayru (2022) emphasize that disparities in access to education can significantly affect students' ability to engage in such activities, highlighting the importance of creating equitable educational environments that foster these moral values.

Character education further enhances moral sensitivity, which increases individuals' ability to perceive and respond to social needs (Dewi & Alam, 2020). Moral sensitivity refers to recognizing ethical dimensions in everyday situations and interpreting them through the lens of shared values and human dignity. Students who are exposed to structured moral dialogue and reflective practices develop a heightened awareness of issues such as inequality, exclusion, and systemic suffering (Tanner et al., 2021). This awareness fosters prosocial concern, which serves as a precursor to intentional involvement in humanitarian activities. As noted by Nucci and Narvaez (2008), character curricula that encourage dialogic reasoning and empathy-building exercises expand learners' moral horizons, making them more attuned to the ethical implications of social action. Programs like those examined by Gautama and Mardikaningsih (2022) demonstrate how education and public awareness can drive sustainable behavior change, reinforcing the importance of moral education in fostering civic responsibility.

Moreover, the role of technology in enhancing moral education cannot be overlooked. As education increasingly incorporates digital tools, students gain access to resources that facilitate the acquisition of digital skills necessary for effective civic engagement (Arifin & Darmawan, 2021). This technological integration is essential in bridging gaps in educational and employment opportunities, ultimately supporting the development of a more engaged citizenry. Warin (2022) discusses how non-formal education can reconstruct community futures by promoting participatory and inclusive social advancements. By leveraging technology, educational institutions can enhance their moral education frameworks, ensuring that students are not only well-versed in ethical principles but also equipped to apply them in a rapidly changing world. This approach aligns with the findings of Mardikaningsih et al. (2021), who highlight the importance of fostering competence for sustainability through education, thereby preparing students to take on global citizenship roles effectively.

Ultimately, the cultivation of moral education in schools contributes significantly to developing socially responsible individuals. By embedding moral values within the educational framework, schools can nurture a generation that is not only aware of social injustices but also motivated to take action. Hariani and Mardikaningsih (2022) emphasize the role of social education in shaping students' global awareness in higher education, further reinforcing the need for a curriculum that prioritizes character development. Rojak et al. (2024) also stress the importance of counseling in character development within university settings, illustrating how comprehensive support systems can enhance students' engagement in community service. By prioritizing moral education and fostering strong community ties, educational institutions can help nurture a generation prepared to lead with integrity, ensuring that the values of commitment and responsibility are not only learned but also lived.

Moreover, character education supports the development of moral agency—a construct denoting the belief in one's capacity to act ethically within complex social environments (Smith & Kouchaki, 2021). Individuals who internalize core virtues such as integrity and justice tend to exhibit greater self-efficacy in responding to communal challenges (Bednar, 2018). This sense of agency is essential in transforming passive acknowledgment into committed action. Students who believe in their capacity to effect change, even within limited circumstances, are more likely to volunteer, advocate, or lead community initiatives (Kirshner, 2008). Berkowitz (2002) highlights that character programs that integrate moral reasoning with leadership development foster civic empowerment rather than passive compliance.

The correlation between character education and civic engagement is reinforced when schools function as ethical communities rather than rule-enforcing institutions (Reiter, & Summer, 2018). An ethical community is one in which dialogue, cooperation, and mutual respect are consistently modeled and expected (Kaplan, 2017). In such environments, moral values are not taught abstractly but demonstrated relationally, allowing students to witness the practical implications of virtues in collective life. Schaps (2003) asserts that schools characterized by trust and social cohesion produce graduates with a heightened sense of social responsibility and interpersonal commitment. This lived experience within ethical communities nurtures a disposition to replicate such structures in wider society (Etieyibo, 2017).

The long-term impact of character education on humanitarian engagement is also evident in the cultivation of narrative identity, wherein individuals construct their sense of self through moral storytelling and biographical coherence (Shumer et al., 2012). When students repeatedly engage in value-centered reflection and share their experiences in community contexts, they begin to see themselves as moral actors whose lives are embedded in

ethical trajectories. McAdams and Pals (2006) observed that narrative identity rooted in moral purpose significantly increases the likelihood of sustained civic participation. Thus, character education not only shapes isolated actions but contributes to the formation of an ethical self-concept (Darnell et al., 2019).

Character education fosters what Damon (2008) describes as noble purpose—an intrinsic aspiration toward goals that transcend self-interest and contribute to the common good. Students guided by a noble purpose often channel their energy into causes that alleviate suffering, promote justice, or enhance communal well-being (Agnew, 2007). This orientation sustains motivation even when external rewards are absent, and it anchors participation in humanitarian efforts to personal meaning rather than obligation. Educational settings that successfully instill noble purpose cultivate a generation of citizens who act not for recognition, but for the enduring welfare of others (Wilhelm & Firmin, 2008).

Lastly, the integrative nature of character education ensures that social and humanitarian engagement is not reduced to episodic gestures, but becomes embedded in the moral routines of everyday life (Pala, 2011). When character is framed as a lifelong commitment rather than students develop habits of reflection, compassion, and service that persist beyond formal schooling. As Narvaez and Lapsley (2009) suggest, moral character is most influential when embedded in ecological systems that consistently reinforce ethical expectations. The individual's willingness and capacity for social action are not externally mandated, but internally maintained through an enduring moral identity (Constantino et al., 2022; Donovan et al., 2017).

The reinforcement of moral virtues through character education strengthens relational empathy, a cornerstone in motivating collective service (Collins, 2018). Relational empathy extends beyond affective concern and involves an intentional effort to understand others' experiences from within their social realities. Educational environments that prioritize perspective-taking and emotional literacy lay the groundwork for this sophisticated form of empathy (Hess et al., 2017). When students engage in structured dialogues, role-playing, and reflective journaling, they begin to internalize the interconnectedness of personal morality and communal well-being. This emotional scaffolding equips them with the psychological readiness to serve not from pity or guilt, but from solidarity and mutual identification (Oxley, 2011).

In situations where civic disengagement is prevalent, character education plays a vital role in reintroducing the moral vocabulary necessary to articulate commitment and responsibility. This educational approach equips students with the language to name injustices, frame ethical dilemmas, and position themselves within broader social narratives. Without this moral grammar, potential engagement remains formless and passive. According to Howard, Berkowitz, and Schaeffer (2004), students with access to moral language demonstrate higher levels of civic articulation and are more likely to participate in purposeful initiatives. This verbal precision is not merely decorative; it empowers individuals to lead, negotiate, and resolve conflict in complex humanitarian settings with ethical coherence (DeWeese, 2020). By fostering critical thinking and articulate expression, character education prepares students to engage actively with societal issues, thereby enhancing their potential to become responsible citizens.

Moreover, character education facilitates the intergenerational transmission of civic values by establishing connections between students and mentors or community leaders who exemplify ethical commitment (Snow & Talk, 2008). These relationships create a moral continuity between formal instruction and communal heritage, embedding students in traditions of service and moral leadership. Lapsley and Narvaez (2006) assert that character education is most effective when it operates within multigenerational networks of influence, providing tangible examples of long-term public commitment. This exposure not only increases students' willingness to engage in civic activities but also fosters a culturally sustained capacity for action (Marshall et al., 2011). Furthermore, structured evaluations in mentoring programs can enhance these relationships, ensuring that students receive the guidance needed to navigate their civic responsibilities effectively (Chada, 2023). By learning from those who exemplify civic responsibility, students can better understand and fulfill their roles within their communities.

Character education also transforms students' perceptions of time and responsibility, encouraging long-range thinking and sustained engagement in humanitarian efforts. Instead of viewing such actions as occasional or event-based, students begin to perceive them as a continuous life project that requires consistency, accountability, and reflection (Anderson, 2000). This temporal reorientation is essential for cultivating resilience against disillusionment or burnout. When service is grounded in a value framework, individuals are more likely to persevere even when outcomes are uncertain (Richaud, 2022). This perseverance, supported by character development, is indispensable in fields requiring long-term commitment to structural and social change (Scholz et al., 2001). The notion that even small, repeated acts of service can generate cumulative impacts reinforces the belief that meaningful change is both possible and worth striving for. This idea is supported by recent findings that emphasize the importance of entrepreneurship education in fostering such resilience among students (Zahid et al., 2023).

In an increasingly digital world, the role of technology in enhancing civic engagement through character education cannot be overlooked. The integration of digital tools into character education empowers students by providing them with resources to express their civic identities effectively. As discussed by Chasanah and Mardikaningsih (2023), entrepreneurship education and digital literacy are essential for fostering entrepreneurial interest and civic responsibility among students. Additionally, Masnawati and Kurniawan (2023) highlight how information technology can improve learning outcomes and prepare students for active civic participation. The role of technology in human resource

development for sustainability further underscores the importance of equipping students with the skills necessary for modern civic engagement (Mardikaningsih & Wardoyo, 2024). By learning to navigate digital platforms for civic engagement, students develop skills that reinforce their ethical commitments and responsibilities, equipping them for meaningful participation in today's interconnected society.

Ultimately, the cultivation of character through education serves as a bulwark against civic disengagement, promoting active participation and a deeper understanding of social responsibilities. Collaborative efforts between educators, community leaders, and families are vital in creating a holistic support system that reinforces the values imparted through character education. Rojak (2023) emphasizes the significant role of academic culture development in shaping students' civic identities, while understanding emotion regulation is highlighted as key to mental well-being and academic success (Yildiz et al., 2021). By prioritizing character education and fostering strong community ties, we can nurture a generation prepared to lead with integrity, ensuring that the values of commitment and responsibility are not only learned but also lived. The structured approaches to mentoring and evaluation in educational settings further enhance this process, making character education a cornerstone of developing engaged and responsible citizens (Ghozali et al., 2022; Chada, 2023).

A particularly powerful outcome of character education is the reduction of moral disengagement, a psychological process in individuals justify inaction or harm by distancing themselves from ethical responsibility. Through rigorous moral reflection and ethical case studies, character instruction confronts rationalizations such as diffusion of responsibility and trivialization of harm. Bandura (1999) emphasized that effective moral education inoculates students against mechanisms that erode civic conscience. By fostering moral self-regulation, character education ensures that individuals do not retreat from humanitarian need but move toward it with clarity and conviction (Pala, 2011).

The pedagogical designs that integrate character education with community-based learning produce the most durable effects on willingness and capacity. When schools design curricula that embed civic inquiry, service-learning, and value deliberation within academic disciplines, students are equipped with both ethical orientation and practical skills (Reetz et al., 1999). Such integration creates a synergy between moral vision and civic competency. Conrad and Hedin (1991) demonstrated that students involved in well-structured community projects with reflective components develop stronger dispositions toward active citizenship than those who receive moral education without application.

The synthesis of character education and community involvement culminates in the development of moral leadership. Individuals who have internalized ethical values, exercised agency, and connected their learning to social realities are well-positioned to become facilitators of change within their communities. They lead with integrity, mobilize others toward humanitarian goals, and model inclusive ethical behavior. These leaders serve as nodes of transformation, reinforcing the cyclical relationship between education and community renewal. As this study reveals, the intersection of character and civic life is not incidental but generative, creating pathways through which private virtues become public commitments. Over time, such leadership fosters a culture of collective responsibility, where ethical action becomes a shared norm rather than an individual exception.

CONCLUSIONS

Character education, as revealed through this literature synthesis, shapes both the internal convictions and external capacities that enable individuals to engage meaningfully in social and humanitarian activity. It nurtures empathy, moral agency, and civic purpose, all of which coalesce to form a stable motivational framework for public action. Students exposed to structured character development programs consistently exhibit heightened awareness of social needs and a sense of responsibility toward addressing them. These educational experiences construct a relational and ethical foundation from which voluntary service, advocacy, and community leadership naturally emerge. The synthesis of value formation and social application is what enables character education to serve not merely as a moral ideal, but as a civic catalyst.

Educational institutions that prioritize character development through integrative curricula have the potential to cultivate generations of individuals who do not simply adapt to society but contribute intentionally to its moral advancement. The findings call for a shift in how educators and policy-makers conceptualize student development—not solely as intellectual training, but as a preparation for ethical participation in real-world communities. Programs that bridge moral instruction with experiential civic engagement offer learners the structure, language, and agency needed to navigate complex humanitarian landscapes with integrity and purpose.

It is recommended that character education be institutionalized not as an auxiliary initiative, but as an epistemic and ethical framework embedded throughout educational experiences. Schools and universities should provide environments where ethical inquiry, reflective dialogue, and real-world service are interconnected. Future studies may investigate the longitudinal effects of character education on patterns of social leadership, crisis response, and intergenerational civic transmission, offering richer insights into the developmental trajectory from moral learning to humanitarian legacy.

REFERENCES

- Agnew, E. N. (2007). Meeting needs, promoting peace: Jane Addams and her 21st century counterparts. *Soundings: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 90(3/4), 207-244.
- Anderson, D. R. (2000). Character education: Who is responsible?. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 27(3), 139 – 146.
- Arifin, S., & D. Darmawan. (2021). Technology Access and Digital Skills: Bridging the Gaps in Education and Employment Opportunities in the Age of Technology 4.0. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 163 – 168.
- Bandura, A. (1999). Moral disengagement in the perpetration of inhumanities. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 3(3), 193–209.
- Beachum, F., McCray, C., Yawn, C., & Obiakor, F. (2013). Support and importance of character education: Pre-service teacher perceptions. *Education*, 133(4), 470-480.
- Berkowitz, M. W. (2002). The science of character education. *Bringing in a new era in character education*, 508(1), 43-63.
- Berkowitz, M. W., & Bier, M. C. (2005). *What works in character education: A research-driven guide for educators*. Washington: Character Education Partnership.
- Burde, D., Kapit, A., Wahl, R. L., Guven, O., & Skarpeteig, M. I. (2017). Education in emergencies: A review of theory and research. *Review of Educational Research*, 87(3), 619-658.
- Chada, N. S. (2023). Structured Evaluation in Mentoring Programs for Student Career Development in Higher Education. *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, 2(3), 64-71.
- Chasanah, U. & R. Mardikaningsih. (2023). Exploring Students' Potential: Entrepreneurship Education and Digital Literacy for Fostering Entrepreneurial Interest Growth. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 3(2), 19-34.
- Collins, S. (2017). Ethics of Care and Statutory Social Work in the UK: Critical Perspectives and Strengths. *Practice*, 30(1), 3–18.
- Conrad, D., & Hedin, D. (1991). School-based community service: What we know from research and theory. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 72(10), 743–749.
- Constantino, S. M., Sparkman, G., Kraft-Todd, G. T., Bicchieri, C., Centola, D., Shell-Duncan, B., Vogt, S., & Weber, E. U. (2022). Scaling Up Change: A Critical Review and Practical Guide to Harnessing Social Norms for Climate Action. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 23(2), 50-97.
- Cronin, P. S. (2016). *Character Education in Public Schools: Building an Effective Program*. Virginia: Virginia Tech
- D’Rozario, V., Tan, S. Y., & Avila, A. P. C. (2017). *Building character and citizenship through service learning: Teacher Education in the 21st Century: Singapore’s evolution and innovation*. Singapore: Springer.
- Damon, W. (2008). *The path to purpose: Helping our children find their calling in life*. New York: Free Press.
- Darnell, C., Gulliford, L., Kristjánsson, K., & Paris, P. (2019). Phronesis and the knowledge-action gap in moral psychology and moral education: A new synthesis?. *Human Development*, 62(3), 101-129.
- DeWeese, D. (2020). *Dialectic of Empathy. A Book Review of Educating for Empathy: Literacy Learning and Civic Engagement*. *Democracy and Education*, 28(1), 10-13.
- Dewi, E. R., & Alam, A. A. (2020). Transformation model for character education of students. *Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences*, 15(5), 1228-1237.
- Donovan, J., Rose, D., & Connolly, M. (2017). A crisis of identity: Social work theorising at a time of change. *British Journal of Social Work*, 47(8), 2291-2307.
- Elias, M. J., White, G., & Stepney, C. (2014). Surmounting the Challenges of Improving Academic Performance: Closing the Achievement Gap through Social-Emotional and Character Development. *Journal of Urban Learning, Teaching, and Research*, 10(1), 14-24.
- Etiyibo, E. (2017). Moral education, ubuntu and ubuntu-inspired communities. *South African Journal of Philosophy= Suid-Afrikaanse Tydskrif vir Wysbegeerte*, 36(3), 311-325
- Fertman, C. I., & Van Linden, J. A. (1999). Character education: An essential ingredient for youth leadership development. *Nassp Bulletin*, 83(609), 9-15.
- Fruigo, J. A., Johnston, A. E., McCauley, B. J., & Navarro, K. C. (2016). Leading character: An investigation into the characteristics and effective practices of character education leaders. Columbia: University of Missouri-Saint Louis.
- Gautama, E. C. & R. Mardikaningsih. (2022). Driving Sustainable Behavior Change Through Education and Public Awareness, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 259 – 264.
- Ghozali, S., Y. Yuliastutik, R. Mardikaningsih, D. Darmawan, M. D. Al Laisty, M. W. Nafiin, & A. Thaha. (2022). Interactive Learning Innovation through Puzzle Media: A Solution to Improve Student Literacy. *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, 1(2), 38-43.
- Hariani, M. & R. Mardikaningsih. (2022). The Social Education Role in Shaping Students’ Global Awareness in Higher Education, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 55 – 60.
- Hart, C. (1998). *Doing a literature review: Releasing the social science research imagination*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Haupt, B., Kapucu, N., Demiroz, F., & Bailey, M. (2017). *Culture of Engagement: Preparing Civic-Minded Public Service Professionals of the Future: In Educating for Citizenship and Social Justice: Practices for Community Engagement at Research Universities*. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Hess, J. L., Strobel, J., & Brightman, A. O. (2017). The development of empathic perspective-taking in an engineering ethics course. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 106(4), 534-563.
- Hill, A., Laker, B., Cuthbertson, R., & Hill, T. (2016). Performance metrics, practice, and implications: lessons from academy schools in the UK. *Said Business School Research Paper*, 13(1), 1-18.
- Howard, R. W., Berkowitz, M. W., & Schaeffer, E. F. (2004). Politics of character education. *Educational Policy*, 18(1), 188–215.
- Jerome, L., Kisby, B., Jerome, L., & Kisby, B. (2019). *Character Education or Citizenship Education?. The Rise of Character Education in Britain: Heroes, Dragons and the Myths of Character*. USA: Palgrave Pivot Cham.
- Jesson, J., Matheson, L., & Lacey, F. M. (2001). *Doing your literature review: Traditional and systematic techniques*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Kaplan, A. (2017). Editor’s Introduction: Character and Community. *Schools*, 14(2), 183-190.
- Kirshner, B. (2008). Guided participation in three youth activism organizations: Facilitation, apprenticeship, and joint work. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 17(1), 60-101.
- Kretz, L. (2020). *Ethics, Emotion, Education, and Empowerment*. London: Lexington Books.
- Lapsley, D. K., & Narvaez, D. (2006). Character education. *Handbook of child psychology*, 4(1), 696-749.
- Lickona, T. (1991). *Educating for character: How our schools can teach respect and responsibility*. New York: Bantam Books.
- Majid, A.B.A., D. Darmawan, R. Shofiyah, E. Masnawati, & M. E. Safira. (2023). The Society's Response to the Intentions of Studying the Islamic Religious Education Program, *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 4(3), 7–12.
- Mardikaningsih, R. & D. T. W. Wardoyo. (2024). The Role of Technology in Human Resource Development for Sustainability: A Literature Review on Digital Innovation, *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, 3(3), 20-26.
- Mardikaningsih, R., E. Masnawati, & N. Aisyah. (2021). Fostering Competence for Sustainability through Education and Adaptive Global Citizenship, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 267 – 272.
- Marshall, J. C., Caldwell, S. D., & Foster, J. (2011). Moral education the CHARACTER plus Way®. *Journal of Moral Education*, 40(1), 51-72. Minas, A., & Charles, A. (2020). Character schools in supporting character education in students. *Journal Educational Verkenning*, 1(2), 1-7.

- Masnawati, E. & D. Darmawan. (2022). School Organization Effectiveness: Educational Leadership Strategies in Resource Management and Teacher Performance Evaluation, *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 2(1), 43–51.
- Masnawati, E. & Y. Kurniawan. (2023). Empowering Minds: Unraveling the Impact of Information Technology and Technological Integration in Academic Environments on Learning Outcomes, *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 3(1), 17–20.
- McAdams, D. P., & Pals, J. L. (2006). A new Big Five: Fundamental principles for an integrative science of personality. *American Psychologist*, 61(3), 204–217.
- Narvaez, D., & Lapsley, D. K. (2009). Moral identity, moral functioning, and the development of moral character. In D. Narvaez & D. K. Lapsley (Eds.), *Personality, identity, and character*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nucci, L. P., & Narvaez, D. (Eds.). (2008). *Handbook of moral and character education*. New York: Routledge.
- Omar Ali, S. (2015). Character education's impact on students' morality and character development. *QScience Proceedings*, 2015(3), 14–16.
- Oxley, J. C., & Oxley, J. C. (2011). *Empathy and Moral Education: The Moral Dimensions of Empathy*. London: Palgrave Macmillan London
- Pala, A. (2011). The need for character education. *International journal of social sciences and humanity studies*, 3(2), 23–32.
- Peterson, A. (2020). Character education, the individual and the political. *Journal of Moral Education*, 49(2), 143–157.
- Prestwich, D. L. (2004). Character Education in America's Schools. *School Community Journal*, 14(1), 139–150.
- Rawson, A., & Mansfield, B. (2018). Producing juridical knowledge: "Rights of Nature" or the naturalization of rights?. *Environment and Planning E: Nature and Space*, 1(1-2), 99–119.
- Reetz, L. J., & Jacobs, G. M. (1999). Faculty Focus on Moral and Character Education. *Education*, 120(2), 3–13.
- Reiter, K., Sexton, L., & Sumner, J. (2018). Theoretical and empirical limits of Scandinavian Exceptionalism: Isolation and normalization in Danish prisons. *Punishment & Society*, 20(1), 92–112.
- Richaud, L. (2022). Malaise of indolence:(Dis) Engagements with the future among young migrants in Shanghai. *Ethos*, 50(3), 332–352.
- Rojak, A. R. (2023). Factors Affecting Lecturer Involvement in Academic Culture Development and How Academic Culture Implements Higher Education, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(1), 29 – 34.
- Rojak, J. A. & R. K. Khayru. (2022). Disparities in Access to Education in Developing Countries: Determinants, Impacts, and Solution Strategies, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 31 – 38.
- Rojak, J. A., A. S. M. Fajar, & D. Darmawan. (2024). A Comprehensive Review of Counseling's Contribution to Student Character Development in University Education, *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 6(1), 13–18.
- Saidek, A. R., & Islami, R. (2016). Character Issues: Reality Character Problems and Solutions through Education in Indonesia. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(17), 158–165.
- Schaps, E. (2015). Creating a school community. In *Educating for Humanity* (pp. 107–112). England: Routledge.
- Scholz, S., Harms, K., & Moody, L. (2001). "Character Counts!": A Leadership Service Learning Project. *Asm News-American Society For Microbiology*, 67(8), 16–17.
- Senok, A., John-Baptiste, A. M., Al Heialy, S., Naidoo, N., Otaki, F., & Davis, D. (2022). Leveraging the added value of experiential Co-curricular programs to humanize medical education. *Journal of Experiential Education*, 45(2), 172–190.
- Shumer, R., Lam, C., & Laabs, B. (2012). Ensuring good character and civic education: Connecting through service learning. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 32(4), 430–440.
- Smith, I. H., & Kouchaki, M. (2021). Ethical learning: The workplace as a moral laboratory for character development. *Social Issues and Policy Review*, 15(1), 277–322.
- Snow, K., & Tulk, J. (2020). Unspun heroes: An example of intergenerational learning and community action. *Canadian Journal for the Study of Adult Education*, 32(1), 41–48
- Sweatman, M., & Warner, A. (2020). A Model for Understanding the Processes, Characteristics, and the Community-Valued Development Outcomes of Community-University Partnerships. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 26(1), 265–287
- Tanner, C., Schmocker, D., Katsarov, J., & Christen, M. (2022). Educating moral sensitivity in business: An experimental study to evaluate the effectiveness of a serious moral game. *Computers & Education*, 17(104381), 1–15.
- Warin, A. K. (2022). Reconstructing Community Futures Through Non-Formal Education for Participatory and Inclusive Social Advancement, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 183 – 188.
- Wilhelm, G. M., & Firmin, M. W. (2008). Character education: Christian education perspectives. *Journal of Research on Christian Education*, 17(2), 182–198.
- Yildiz, D., B. Gardi, & G. Seran. (2021). Understanding Emotion Regulation as the Key to Mental Wellbeing and Student Academic Success, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 209 – 214.
- Zahid, R.A., D. Darmawan, & J. A. Rojak. (2023). A Comprehensive Evaluation of Entrepreneurship Education in Preparing the Young Generation to Enter the Modern Job Market, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 3(2), 55 – 60.