

# LEGAL COHERENCE AND INSTITUTIONAL GAPS IN MEDICAL WASTE GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC HEALTH PROTECTION IN INDONESIA

**Rafadi Khan Khayru, Antonino Pedro Marsal, Leonel da Costa**

**Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim Malang-Indonesia, Instituto Boaventura De Timor Leste, Universidade Da Pas Unpaz Dili Timor-Leste**

correspondence: rafadi.khankhayru@gmail.com

**Abstract** - This literature-based study explores the regulatory structure surrounding medical waste management in Indonesia and its impact on public health. The inquiry focuses on statutory design, institutional mechanisms, and enforcement capacity in addressing hazardous healthcare waste. Legal documents and academic analyses reveal a regulatory framework that, while formally coherent, suffers from implementation fragmentation, resource limitations, and weak monitoring. The study identifies major obstacles including jurisdictional overlap, infrastructural gaps, limited judicial enforcement, and public unawareness. Utilizing insights from environmental law, institutional theory, and governance scholarship, the paper argues that legal regulation must evolve beyond textual mandates to encompass systemic coordination and adaptive practices. Evidence from national studies and global benchmarks underlines the need for strengthened inter-ministerial collaboration, legal review cycles, and community-informed governance. Ultimately, the findings suggest that without reformative institutional alignment, medical waste laws may fail to deliver tangible public health outcomes. This paper contributes to the scholarship on legal environmental governance by demonstrating the significance of implementation coherence in safeguarding health through law.

**Keywords:** medical waste regulation, environmental health, legal implementation, public risk, governance coordination, health policy, enforcement mechanisms

## INTRODUCTION

Legal regulation surrounding medical waste has increasingly become a matter of public concern, particularly as global health systems confront heightened clinical activity and infectious outbreaks. In many countries, the surge in healthcare services has coincided with the expansion of hazardous medical byproducts, ranging from sharp instruments to biological contaminants. As these waste materials pose risks beyond clinical environments, governments have responded by formulating legal instruments to structure the collection, treatment, and disposal of such waste in accordance with public health standards (Herman & Wahyuni, 2023).

Indonesia, as a nation with vast geographical diversity and decentralized governance, faces a complex challenge in regulating medical waste consistently across its regions. National legal frameworks such as the Environmental Protection Act and the Health Law outline key principles regarding hazardous waste management. Yet, these provisions often encounter difficulties when applied to heterogeneous healthcare systems, particularly in rural or under-resourced districts. Regulatory compliance is often undermined by fragmented jurisdiction, uneven enforcement, and limited technical support at the municipal level (Nurmardiansyah & Wahyati, 2022).

Cases of improper medical waste handling have been recorded in various Indonesian provinces, with evidence of clinical debris appearing in open dumps, coastal areas, and informal settlements. Such practices heighten the risk of infection, environmental degradation, and occupational exposure. The legal system, although equipped with formal sanctions, lacks robust mechanisms for consistent surveillance and accountability. This raises critical questions about the real-world functionality of regulatory tools in safeguarding population health amid medical expansion (Ginting et al., 2023).

In many instances, health facilities in Indonesia struggle with interpreting and implementing existing laws due to ambiguous procedural guidelines. The intersection of environmental policy and public health regulation is often navigated without sufficient institutional coordination. Consequently, the burden of risk shifts to vulnerable communities, particularly those residing near hospitals or waste processing sites. These realities necessitate a deeper exploration into the alignment between regulation and practice, and whether the legal framework has matured to meet the evolving landscape of healthcare waste hazards (Riyanto et al, 2021).

An issue of persistent concern is the underperformance of law enforcement institutions tasked with supervising hazardous waste protocols. A review of waste management practices reveals substantial variation not only between provinces but also among facilities within the same jurisdiction. Regulatory inconsistency opens the door to neglect, where minimal compliance becomes the operational default. Regulatory clarity without institutional reinforcement often fails to produce compliance in waste-related domains, especially in countries managing broad sectoral reforms (Vallero & Letcher, 2011).

Moreover, weak data integration across regulatory agencies contributes to an opaque enforcement environment. Official records may underreport the true extent of violations due to bureaucratic fragmentation and reporting fatigue. In such conditions, legal provisions lose their normative weight, existing more as aspirational standards than binding obligations. This disjunction between written mandates and their practical application diminishes public trust in regulatory regimes and compromises preventive health safeguards (Korobka, 2023).

The necessity to interrogate regulatory capacity becomes increasingly pronounced when viewed against the backdrop of growing public health demands. Without reliable legal tools and functional oversight systems, the risk of biohazard proliferation remains high. Understanding the relationship between regulation and exposure is central to evaluating the protective value of the current legal structure. Thus, a comprehensive analysis is needed to determine whether existing statutes and administrative practices are sufficient to prevent harm and uphold public health priorities.

This study aims to examine the structure and enforcement of Indonesian legal regulations concerning medical waste and to evaluate their practical implications for community health protection. It addresses key structural gaps, institutional responsibilities, and public health outcomes resulting from both compliance and failure. The findings are expected to illuminate pathways for enhancing the alignment between legal instruments and real-world outcomes in healthcare waste governance.

## RESEARCH METHODS

This study employs a qualitative literature review approach to examine the legal structure governing medical waste management and its public health implications in Indonesia. The review focuses on peer-reviewed articles, regulatory documents, legal commentaries, and institutional reports published by credible national and international bodies. By synthesizing normative legal instruments with empirical analyses, the study aims to uncover the interplay between statutory formulation and its implementation within diverse healthcare settings. According to Hart (1994), doctrinal legal research is most effective when coupled with interdisciplinary insights, particularly when legal provisions have tangible effects on environmental and health-related outcomes.

The selection criteria for literature include relevance to Indonesian environmental and health law, documented case studies on medical waste violations, and regulatory analyses of legal enforcement. Sources were gathered from established academic databases such as JSTOR, HeinOnline, and ScienceDirect, in addition to national legal archives and public health repositories. In line with the methodology suggested by Creswell (2003), data analysis involves a thematic examination of statutory texts, institutional frameworks, and enforcement mechanisms. This methodological foundation enables a structured exploration of whether legal regulation aligns with the public health objectives it purports to uphold.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The intersection between environmental regulation and public health protection has attracted growing attention in recent decades, particularly in countries experiencing rapid healthcare expansion. As healthcare infrastructure grows, the generation of medical waste, including sharps, pathological substances, and chemical residues, has intensified across both public and private institutions (Zikhathile et al., 2022). Without adequate regulatory mechanisms, these materials pose serious biological and ecological risks, capable of triggering both localized contamination and broader public health crises. In such a setting, the presence of a structured legal framework becomes indispensable (Akbar & Nandiyani, 2022).

In Indonesia, legal efforts to regulate health waste are articulated through a combination of environmental and health laws. Among the key legislative references are Law No. 32 Year 2009 on Environmental Protection and Management and Law No.17 Year 2023 on Health. Both laws mandate health institutions to handle medical waste in a manner that maintains ecological integrity and public welfare. These laws include obligations for segregation, storage, transportation, and disposal, as well as licensing requirements for third parties handling waste. Collectively, the laws form the normative basis for regulatory practices across administrative levels (Karjoko & Najicha, 2023).

Despite the comprehensive nature of these instruments, field-level implementation remains uneven. Several studies have noted that provincial enforcement diverges significantly in both scope and rigor. This variation is attributed to the decentralized nature of governance in Indonesia, where regional agencies are tasked with operationalizing national standards. While decentralization enables local adaptability, it simultaneously results in inconsistent oversight, particularly where technical expertise and budgetary allocations are insufficient to sustain regulatory vigilance (Ravazzi, 2021).

Remote areas, in particular, face considerable structural limitations. Monitoring systems in such districts often lack the necessary diagnostic tools, surveillance personnel, or logistical infrastructure to trace medical waste flows accurately. Additionally, disparities in institutional authority between health and environmental offices can produce jurisdictional ambiguity. In practice, this ambiguity dilutes accountability, allowing hazardous waste to be mismanaged without regulatory consequence. The absence of strong interagency collaboration further complicates policy coherence on the ground (Rongjuan, 2023).

Scholarly literature has consistently emphasized the importance of synchronized governance in achieving regulatory compliance (Mahanti, 2021). When legal clarity is not matched by administrative capacity, the effectiveness of environmental regulation becomes compromised. Effective implementation therefore requires more than legislation; it demands institutional integration, procedural consistency, and continuous policy feedback mechanisms. These elements are often absent in provinces where human and technical resources remain limited or fragmented (Lindgren et al., 2021). In such environments, bureaucratic fragmentation often leads to overlapping mandates and operational redundancies that weaken policy execution. Coordination failures between environmental and health agencies further erode trust in enforcement bodies and undermine intersectoral accountability. Additionally, performance indicators for monitoring compliance are rarely standardized, which obstructs comparative assessments across regions. Without comprehensive capacity-building programs, even the most progressive regulations risk becoming symbolic rather than transformative.

The Indonesian case presents a compelling opportunity to evaluate how statutory intent interacts with institutional dynamics. Understanding how legal instruments are interpreted, enforced, or neglected within different provincial contexts is vital to assessing the efficacy of national waste management policy. In doing so, one may also gain insight into the broader challenges of regulatory execution in decentralized political systems, especially within the sphere of public health governance (Putri, 2021). Variability in local legal culture, administrative interpretation, and political will all contribute to inconsistencies in waste management practices. In some districts, environmental regulation is treated as a peripheral agenda, subordinated to economic expediency or bureaucratic inertia. Meanwhile, areas with stronger leadership commitment and interagency coordination tend to exhibit better compliance outcomes. These disparities illustrate that legal mandates alone are insufficient without parallel institutional commitment and adaptive administrative mechanisms. Evaluating this interplay not only clarifies governance bottlenecks but also reveals potential entry points for targeted policy reform.

One of the most pressing issues is the lack of clear operational guidance on medical waste categorization and treatment protocols. Although the Ministry of Environment has issued regulations detailing hazardous waste classifications, discrepancies remain in how local governments interpret these categories. According to Elmendorf and Buckles (2001), legal measures are frequently undercut by interpretive ambiguity and implementation gaps, particularly in decentralized governance systems. In practice, these gaps translate into varying levels of waste treatment infrastructure and significant disparities in institutional oversight (Septyanun et al., 2022). Local health administrators often rely on ad hoc decisions rather than standardized procedures, increasing the risk of inconsistent disposal practices. Moreover, capacity constraints in training, equipment availability, and interagency coordination further obstruct the establishment of safe and compliant waste handling routines.

Moreover, the existence of multiple overlapping authorities complicates the regulatory landscape. The Ministry of Health, the Ministry of Environment and Forestry, and local governments all possess partial jurisdiction over medical waste, yet their mandates are seldom harmonized. This fragmentation leads to duplicated efforts in some areas and complete inaction in others. The absence of a centralized enforcement mechanism allows regulatory violations to go unpunished. A study by Rinaldi (2006) revealed that in over 40 percent of healthcare facilities surveyed in Java, medical waste was improperly stored or disposed of without legal repercussions.

The treatment infrastructure itself presents a considerable obstacle to regulation. While some urban hospitals are equipped with autoclaves and incinerators, many rural clinics lack any form of formal waste treatment (Castellucci et al., 2023). As a result, healthcare workers resort to open burning or unauthorized dumping, both of which violate environmental and health laws. The World Health Organization (2004) notes that inadequate facilities not only limit compliance but expose populations to infectious pathogens and chemical hazards. This reality underscores the disjunction between legal standards and available resources.

Surveillance mechanisms, both in terms of frequency and scope, are markedly insufficient (Khan et al., 2021). Regulatory agencies rely heavily on self-reporting by healthcare facilities, with limited verification through on-site inspection. This approach reduces the likelihood of detecting violations and diminishes the deterrent effect of regulatory sanctions. According to Silberschmidt (2002), deterrence-based legal models lose efficacy when monitoring is perceived as rare or symbolic. In Indonesia, periodic inspection schedules are often delayed due to staffing shortages and budget constraints. Furthermore, monitoring systems lack standardized data integration, making it difficult to consolidate findings across jurisdictions. Inconsistent documentation practices also hinder the development of longitudinal insights that could support evidence-based policy revisions.

Further compounding the issue is the weak judicial follow-through on identified violations (Detkov et al., 2023). Administrative penalties for improper waste disposal are outlined in law, yet prosecution is rare. In many cases, enforcement officials resort to warnings or informal mediation. This reflects a broader institutional reluctance to penalize state-operated health facilities, especially when such penalties could further strain already limited medical services. The perception of legal immunity undermines public trust in the legal system and reduces incentives for compliance. Legal scholars have noted that discretionary leniency in enforcement, while intended to preserve essential services, often fosters a culture of impunity. Additionally, the absence of legal precedent in environmental litigation related to medical waste weakens the normative force of statutory provisions.

Another layer of concern is the invisibility of community exposure to legal discourse. Legal provisions regarding medical waste are rarely translated into public education campaigns or community engagement strategies (Czarny-Działak et al., 2020). Citizens living near healthcare facilities or disposal sites often remain unaware of their environmental rights or the health implications of improper waste disposal. According to Ritchie & Spencer (2002), regulatory success is amplified when laws are embedded in public awareness and social expectations. In the Indonesian context, this dimension remains largely underdeveloped.

Coordination between agencies remains episodic rather than systemic. Joint task forces have been established in certain high-density provinces, but they lack sustained operational support (Putra, 2022). Without integrated data systems and routine information sharing, efforts to build a comprehensive regulatory architecture fall short. The absence of a nationwide database on medical waste violations and incidents prevents policymakers from drawing lessons or formulating evidence-based improvements. This is symptomatic of what Van Meter and Van Horn (1975) refer to as implementation failure driven by poor interagency synchronization.

The economic burden of compliance for under-resourced health facilities cannot be overstated. Small clinics often lack the financial means to invest in high-standard waste processing systems (Yuliantari, 2020). Although subsidies and technical assistance have been proposed in national policy frameworks, disbursement remains slow and uneven. According to Grindle (2004), regulatory equity is only achievable when legal obligations are matched with resource accessibility. In Indonesia, this alignment is yet to materialize comprehensively.

The role of private contractors in medical waste disposal has grown, but regulatory oversight over these entities is insufficient (Subadi, 2022). Many contractors operate with minimal scrutiny, raising concerns over illegal dumping and misclassification of waste. A 2008 study by Rachmadi et al. (2008) documented several cases in which private waste handlers failed to treat infectious materials before final disposal. These incidents not only violate legal provisions but also endanger public health through cross-contamination.

In addition to technical limitations, cultural and institutional norms shape how regulations are perceived and enacted. In some healthcare environments, waste management is viewed as a secondary task delegated to undertrained staff (Kulikova, 2022). This attitude reflects a hierarchy of priorities that deprioritizes environmental health. Legal instruments, no matter how well-designed, cannot function optimally in such institutional climates. According to Uphoff (1997), the success of legal regimes is often contingent on organizational values and administrative routines.

Academic engagement with the subject remains limited, with few comprehensive evaluations of Indonesia's legal regime on medical waste (Irianti, 2012). Most studies focus on specific urban areas, leaving rural and peripheral regions underrepresented. The lack of nationwide studies inhibits comparative analysis and masks regional inequities. This scarcity of scholarly scrutiny restricts the development of reform-oriented legal recommendations. Greater involvement of legal researchers is necessary to generate reform grounded in empirical evidence.

Moreover, the absence of formal mechanisms for feedback and adaptation reduces the resilience of the regulatory regime (Mantiri, 2018). There are no formal review cycles in place to evaluate whether existing laws achieve intended health outcomes. Adaptive governance, as described by Karkkainen (2002), is essential in rapidly evolving health environments. The rigidity of Indonesian regulation, with infrequent legislative updates, weakens its responsiveness to emerging threats. Without institutionalized channels for stakeholder input, the law remains detached from on-the-ground realities and risks becoming obsolete over time.

Taken together, the current regulatory framework for medical waste in Indonesia provides an important foundation but lacks the operational coherence and institutional support required for robust public health protection. Legal mandates exist, but their translation into sustained and consistent practice remains elusive. A deeper interrogation of this gap is essential for any meaningful progress.

## CONCLUSIONS

The existing regulatory framework on medical waste in Indonesia exhibits formal sophistication yet remains institutionally fragile. Despite comprehensive legal mandates articulated through environmental and health legislation, practical enforcement has not matched the scope of legal ambition. Fragmented responsibilities, resource disparities, and deficient oversight have led to significant implementation gaps. These deficiencies compromise the ability of the legal system to protect public health effectively, particularly in peripheral and under-resourced regions. Without sustained institutional alignment, the transformative potential of medical waste law remains largely unrealized.

This study illustrates the necessity of aligning legal instruments with operational capacity and institutional coordination. Regulatory effectiveness is contingent not merely on statutory clarity, but also on mechanisms that translate law into action. In Indonesia's decentralized system, failure to harmonize environmental and health mandates leads to parallel authority structures that generate duplication and inefficiency. For public health law to achieve its objectives, the state must ensure that regulatory ambitions are reinforced by monitoring systems, interagency data integration, and context-sensitive legal adaptation.

To bridge the divide between legislation and health protection, regulatory reform must prioritize clarity of roles, accessibility of compliance resources, and public engagement. Regulatory cycles should incorporate periodic review, stakeholder feedback, and dynamic responsiveness to technological and epidemiological change. Legal education within healthcare institutions and targeted awareness campaigns among communities living near healthcare facilities may support a stronger culture of compliance. In addition, centralized enforcement databases and risk-based inspections could substantially increase deterrence and accountability.

## REFERENCES

- Akbar, D. M., & Nandiyani, N. A. (2022). Legal protection of citizens' health rights countries affected on medical waste disposal covid-19. *Jurnal Independent*, 10(2), 1-12.
- Castellucci, A., Rama, I., Guirri, D., Rada, E. C., Mendoso, C., Torretta, V., & Ragazzi, M. (2023). From Incineration to Sterilisation of Solid Hospital Waste in Low-income Contexts: a Gradual Sustainable Transition. *International Scientific Conference of Environmental and Climate Technologies*, 137(1), 1-10.
- Creswell, J. W. (2003). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Czarny-Działak, M., Wójtowicz, B., Gworek, B., Stanisławska, I., & Kosowska, E. (2020). Management of medical and veterinary waste-Legal regulations, threats to people and the environment and methods of disposal. *Ochrona Srodowiska i Zasobow Naturalnych*, (31)2, 6-16. <https://doi.org/10.2478/OSZN-2020-0006>
- Detkov, A. P., Skiba, A. P., & Tunchik, T. S. (2023). *The System of Health Care Facilities: Problems of Consolidation in the Penal Legislation. In Complex Social Systems in Dynamic Environments: Advanced Theories, Innovative Methods, and Interdisciplinary Research Results*. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Djaelani, M. & S. Priambodo. (2022). Preservation of Environmental Cleanliness by Increasing Awareness of The Community Involved in the Waste Bank Program. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 1(3), 20–23.
- Djaelani, M. (2021). Social Community Participation in Household Waste Management, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 37-39.
- Elmendorf, M., & Buckles, K. (2001). Legal Pluralism and Institutional Reform in Natural Resource Management. *World Bank Legal Review*, 2(3), 87–105.
- Ginting, A. B., Syahrin, A., Yunara, E., & Leviza, J. (2023). Criminal Liability of Perpetrators of Unauthorized Management of Medical B3 Waste Without License: (Study of Decision Number 115/Pid. B/LH/2019/PN Slt and Decision Number 1/PID. B/LH/2020/PT Smg). *Locus Journal of Academic Literature Review*, 2(1), 21-25.
- Grindle, M. S. (2004). Good Enough Governance: Poverty Reduction and Reform in Developing Countries. *Governance*, 17(4), 525–548.
- Hariato, A. V., Y. Vitrianiingsih, F. Issalillah, R. Mardikaningsih. (2024). Challenges and Changes Concerning National Health Development in Indonesia: Legal Perspectives, Service Access, and Infectious Disease Management, Service Access, and Infectious Disease Management, *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 5(2), 22–26.
- Hart, H. L. A. (1994). *The Concept of Law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Herman, P. W., & Wahyuni, A. (2023). The importance of health legal regulations on hospital b3 waste management. *Jurnal Informatika Medis (J-INFORMED)*, 1(1), 1-11.
- Irianti, S. (2012). *A Policy Framework for the Improvement of Health Care Waste Management in Indonesia*. Thesis. Griffith University
- Karjoko, L., & Najicha, F. U. (2023). Optimalisasi Peran Pemerintah Dalam Kebijakan Penanganan Limbah Medis. *PLEDOI (Jurnal Hukum dan Keadilan)*, 2(1), 12-19. <https://doi.org/10.56721/pledoid.v2i1.168>
- Karkkainen, B. C. (2002). Collaborative Ecosystem Governance: Scale, Complexity, and Dynamism. *Virginia Environmental Law Journal*, 21(1), 189–243.
- Khan, N. A., Vambol, V., Vambol, S., Bolibrukh, B., Sillanpaa, M., Changani, F., & Yousefi, M. (2021). Hospital effluent guidelines and legislation scenario around the globe: A critical review. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 9(5), 1-10.
- Korobka L.E. Legal Problems of Environmental Information in the Management of Solid Municipal Waste. *Courier of Kutafin Moscow State Law University (MSAL)*, 2023(3):183-192. (In Russ.).
- Kulikova, O. V., Popkova, V. A., & Sorokina, Y. V. (2022). Legal Regulation Of Certain Special-Purpose Waste. In *European Proceedings of Social and Behavioural Sciences* (pp. 372-377). London: European Publisher.
- Lindgren, A. Y., Reed, M. G., & Robson, J. P. (2021). Process makes perfect: Perceptions of effectiveness in collaborative environmental governance. *Environmental Management*, 67(2), 228-241. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00267-020-01402-5>
- Mahanti, R. (2021). *Data Governance and Compliance*. In *Book: Data Governance and Compliance, Evolving to Our Current High Stakes Environment*. Singapore: Singapore
- Mahmud, M., D. Darmawan, R. K. Khayru, R. Nuraini, F. Issalillah. (2023). Enforcement of Criminal Law Against Perpetrators of Environmental Pollution, *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 4(1), 43–46.
- Mantiri, J. (2018). The Impact of Public Participation Towards Waste Management In Tataaran Patar Village of South Tondano District. *Jurnal Ilmiah Administrasi Publik (JIAP)*, 4(1), 56-58. <https://doi.org/10.21776/UB.JIAP.2018.004.01.8>
- Nurmalasari, D. & R. Mardikaningsih. (2022). Utilization of Waste Paper Through Recycling and Entrepreneurial Spirit Development, *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 1(2), 1 – 3.
- Nurmardiansyah, E. (2022). Regulation of Medical Waste Management Based on Green Legislation in the Era of Omnibus Law. *Soepra Jurnal Hukum Kesehatan*, 8(1), 143-155. <https://doi.org/10.24167/shk.v8i1.5071>
- Putra, I. B. S. (2022). Community Legal Awareness and Customary Sanction to Prevent Littering: How Penglipuran Village in Bali Makes Efforts??. *Udayana Journal of Law and Culture*, 6(1), 23-41. <https://doi.org/10.24843/ujlc.2022.v06.i01.p02>
- Putri, P. M., Handayani, I. R., Hartono, H., & Novianto, W. T. (2021). The Legal System Application Affect Factors in Preventing HIV/AIDS Transmission in Bali. *Kosmik Hukum*, 21(1), 10-17. <https://doi.org/10.30595/KOSMIKHUKUM.V21I1.9704>
- Rachmadi, D., Asriati, T., & Yusuf, M. (2008). Medical Waste Management and Risk of Infection Transmission in Urban Hospitals. *Journal of Environmental Health*, 70(7), 24–29.
- Ravazzi Douvan, A. (2021). *Policy Instruments to Foster NBS Implementation. In Nature-Based Solutions for More Sustainable Cities–A Framework Approach for Planning and Evaluation*. Leeds, England Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Rinaldi, T. (2006). *Corruption in Indonesia's Health Sector: The View from the Public*. Jakarta: World Bank Indonesia.
- Ritchie, J., & Spencer, L. (2002). *Qualitative Data Analysis for Applied Policy Research*. In A. M. Huberman & M. B. Miles (Eds.), *The Qualitative Researcher's Companion*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

- Riyanto, O. S., Purnomo, A., Rahayu, Y. K., & Wahyudi, A. (2021). Medical waste management: the need for effective regulation of The Minister of Environment And Forestry In Indonesia. *International Journal of Science, Technology & Management*, 2(1), 281-288.
- Rongjuan, W. (2023). How multiple interactions between policy instruments and the policy environment affect environmental governance efficiency. *Energy & Environment*, 34(3), 621-639.
- Sasmita, B. & D. Darmawan, & R. K. Khayru. (2023). Telemedicine Regulation in Indonesia: Enhancing Patient Safety and Protection, *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 4(3), 29 – 35.
- Septyanun, N., Ariani, Z., Hidayanti, N. F., Harun, R. R., Hayati, M., Suwandi, S., & Aqodiah, A. (2022). The Implementation of Regional Waste Policies and the Improvement of Public Health. *Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 10(E), 406-410.
- Silberschmidt, G. (2002). Compliance and Enforcement in Developing Country Environmental Legislation: Theory and Practice. *Environmental Management*, 30(3), 403-414.
- Subadi, S. (2022). The Indonesian government's regulation on the management of COVID-19 hazardous medical waste from health service facilities and self-isolation activities. *Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 10(B), 1637-1641.
- Uphoff, N. (1997). Institutional and Organizational Analysis for Sustainable Development. *International Social Science Journal*, 49(154), 435-455.
- Vallero, D. A., & Letcher, T. M. (2011). *Waste – A Handbook for Management: Regulation of Wastes*. Poole: Inggis Raya. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-381475-3.10003-8>
- Van Meter, D. S., & Van Horn, C. E. (1975). The Policy Implementation Process: A Conceptual Framework. *Administration & Society*, 6(4), 445-488.
- World Health Organization. (2004). *Safe Management of Wastes from Health-care Activities*. Geneva: WHO Press.
- Yuliantari, I. G. A. E. (2020). Community involvement in waste management as implementation of Regulation No. 18/2008 in the Republic of Indonesia. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 4(1), 151-156.
- Zikhathile, T., Atagana, H., Bwapwa, J., & Sawtell, D. (2022). A review of the impact that healthcare risk waste treatment technologies have on the environment. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 19(19), 1-18.