ISSE
International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology
pISSN 2964-7118 eISSN 2830-604X

INDIVIDUAL IDENTITY AND THE DYNAMICS OF DIGITAL SOCIAL INTERACTION

Folashade Oluwatoyin

Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria

correspondence: folashadeoliwatoyin@gmail.com

Abstract- *This literature study examines identity crisis in the digital era by exploring how technology mediated social interaction reshapes the way individuals understand and construct their sense of self. Digital environments expand symbolic interaction while accelerating social exchange, which alters the stability of identity formation. Identity emerges as an interpretive process shaped by representation, recognition, and continuous adjustment to social expectations. The study highlights how visibility, comparison, and fragmented interaction intensify meaning tension within personal and social identity. Rather than offering a fixed outcome, digital interaction produces an ongoing negotiation between lived experience and symbolic expression. This condition fosters uncertainty, as individuals struggle to maintain coherence amid rapidly changing social cues. The findings suggest that identity crisis does not appear as a sudden rupture but develops gradually through cumulative pressures embedded in digital interaction. By synthesizing theoretical perspectives, this study provides a conceptual framework for understanding identity as a fragile and evolving construct within technologically mediated society. The analysis contributes to social theory by clarifying how digital interaction reshapes self-understanding and collective meaning formation.*

Keywords: identity crisis, digital technology, social interaction, self construction, symbolic representation, recognition, meaning.

INTRODUCTION

The development of digital technology has shaped the way humans interact, interpret social experiences, and negotiate their existence in public spaces (Castells, 2000; Bauman, 2000). Digital media expands the field of human encounters through networks of symbols, images, and representations that are fast-moving and multi-layered (Jenkins, 2006). The identity is no longer present as an entity that is formed in a stable manner through face-to-face relationships and traditional social institutions, but through a continuous process of interpretation of signs circulating in digital space. These shifts mark a broader transformation in social interaction patterns and identity formation driven by digital culture (da Cruz et al., 2024). Individuals are faced with diverse expectations, narratives, and assessments that are constantly changing, so that the understanding of the self undergoes a shift in meaning (Turkle, 2011). Technology presents a fluid social space, where the boundaries between personal and public become blurred, and between authentic expression and symbolic construction become difficult to distinguish (Bauman, 2000). This situation requires individuals to reinterpret who they are, how they want to be perceived, and to what extent social recognition is part of the formation of self-meaning. This process shows that identity is under serious conceptual pressure, as it is no longer entirely shaped through direct social experience, but rather through symbolic interactions mediated by technology (Castells, 2000).

Changes in social interaction patterns due to digital technology have serious implications for how individuals construct the continuity of their personal narratives (Darmawan & de Jesus Isaac, 2022). Identities that were previously formed through relatively linear life experiences are now confronted with fragmented and simultaneous flows of information (Jenkins, 2006). Individuals receive diverse representations of values, lifestyles, and social standards that exist simultaneously, often without a clear hierarchy. This dynamic also reshapes the process of adaptation and collective identity formation within emerging digital communities (Nur, 2024). This situation encourages continuous negotiation of meaning between personal experiences and collective expectations displayed in digital spaces (Boyd, 2014; Negara & Darmawan, 2023). Identity becomes an arena of interpretation that is always open, not the end result of a stable social process. Technology accelerates the cycle of social recognition and assessment, prompting individuals to repeatedly adjust their self-expression (Turkle, 2011). Under these conditions, identity consistency faces pressure as individuals must balance the need for social acceptance with the desire to maintain self-integrity (Schmader & Sedikides, 2018). This tension marks the emergence of an identity crisis, which does not occur suddenly but grows through a process of repeated and intense symbolic interaction (Bauman, 2000).

The digital space provides vast opportunities for individuals to express themselves, but at the same time presents complex performative demands. Identity is no longer simply understood as an internal understanding of oneself, but rather as something that needs to be displayed, curated, and assessed by others (boyd, 2014). The practice of self-representation through technology often encourages individuals to construct an image that is in line with the dominant norms in the

digital space. In this process, identity risks being reduced to a collection of strategically selected signs, rather than a complete reflection of existential experience (Turkle, 2011). Individuals may experience a gap between their experienced self and their displayed self, thereby undermining the meaning of personal integrity. When social recognition depends on digital visibility, identity tends to be shaped through the logic of symbolic appreciation, such as attention and recognition (Jenkins, 2006). This phenomenon reflects a broader challenge in which cultural identities must navigate the tensions between global connectivity and local authenticity (Zulkarnain, 2024). This condition raises fundamental questions about authenticity, the sustainability of self-meaning, and the ability of individuals to maintain identity continuity amid the ever-changing flow of representation (Castells, 2000).

Digital technology changes the structure of social interaction through mediation mechanisms that influence how individuals understand their relationships with others. Interactions that previously took place in limited social spaces now extend to networks that know no geographical boundaries. Social relationships are built through text, images, and symbols that are often detached from direct experience. In these circumstances, individuals are required to interpret social meaning through limited cues, making their understanding of themselves and others highly dependent on symbolic interpretation. Identity develops through internal dialogue influenced by digitally received social responses. When these responses are ambiguous or contradictory, individuals may experience uncertainty in interpreting their position in society. This process shows that identity is no longer formed through stable social engagement, but rather through a series of temporary and rapidly changing interactions, thus opening up space for ongoing psychosocial tension.

In further developments, digital technology shapes the social landscape that influences how society views the existence of individuals. Identity becomes part of the collective flow of meaning that is produced and reproduced through digital platforms. Individuals are in a reciprocal relationship with the symbolic structures built by technology, where values, norms, and social recognition are constructed dynamically. This process requires a high level of reflective ability so that individuals are able to interpret themselves coherently. When this ability is suppressed by excessive demands for representation, identity risks losing its sense of meaning. The role of cultural identity in fostering social cohesion becomes particularly complex in such a digitally mediated and multicultural environment (Oluwatosin & Rojak, 2023). Research on identity crises in the digital age is important for understanding how technology-mediated social interactions shape the way individuals construct and interpret their existence in society.

The identity crisis in the digital age arises from the tension between individuals' need for a sense of self-integrity and the demands of ever-changing social representation (Manganas, 2024). Individuals are faced with a situation where self-expression must be adapted to the symbolic norms that apply in the digital space. When self-representation becomes the primary means of gaining recognition, the meaning of identity has the potential to shift from internal understanding to external construction. This process raises questions about the extent to which individuals still have autonomy in constructing their identity. Dependence on digital social responses can influence how individuals assess their own self-worth. In the long term, this condition has the potential to weaken the consistency of identity, as individuals must continually negotiate the meaning of self in accordance with rapidly changing social expectations (Masnawati et al., 2022). This problem indicates conceptual instability in the understanding of identity amid the intense flow of digital interactions.

Another issue relates to the transformation of social interactions that influence the process of collective identity formation. Digital technology enables the formation of temporary and fluid symbolic communities. Individuals can move from one social space to another easily, without long-term attachments. This condition influences how individuals interpret social membership and a sense of belonging. Identity is no longer strongly tied to relatively stable social structures, but rather to rapidly changing networks of relationships. This uncertainty raises questions about the sustainability of social identity and the ability of individuals to maintain a sense of meaning in social life. These issues reveal the structural pressures on the formation of a coherent identity in the digital age.

The discussion of identity crisis in the digital age has academic significance because it touches on the fundamental aspects of human existence in modern society. Technology has become the primary medium of social interaction, so that understanding of identity cannot be separated from the way technology shapes social experiences. This research provides a conceptual basis for understanding changes in the way individuals interpret themselves, social recognition, and relationships with others. By examining the process of technology-mediated identity interpretation, this research opens up an understanding of the social dynamics that influence social cohesion. This understanding is necessary to interpret the direction of ongoing social change and its implications for communal life. This research seeks to provide a reflective framework for the development of social thinking that can explain the shifting meaning of identity amid rapid technological developments.

This research aims to systematically examine how digital technology shapes the process of interpreting individual identity through mediated social interaction. This research aims to describe the conceptual mechanisms that explain the relationship between self-representation, social recognition, and identity meaning in digital society. This research also seeks to contribute theoretically to the development of sociological and social philosophical thinking about identity in the technological era. From a practical perspective, this study is expected to serve as a conceptual reference for educators, policymakers, and social observers in understanding the dynamics of identity faced by individuals in the

digital space. This research seeks to enrich the academic discourse on identity and social interaction in a society that is increasingly dependent on technology.

RESEARCH METHODS

This research applies qualitative literature study as the main approach to understand the formation of individual identity through social interactions mediated by digital technology. Literature research was chosen because it allows the exploration of ideas, concepts, and frameworks that have been widely developed in social sciences without involving primary data. The research process began with establishing the focus of the research, which was directed at the relationship between digital technology, social interactions, and the meaning of identity. The search for sources was conducted systematically through reputable academic databases using relevant thematic keywords. This strategy follows the principles of research synthesis as described by Cooper (2010), which emphasizes the importance of mapping ideas across scientific works to build a structured conceptual understanding. The search was also conducted through cross-references to ensure that influential works were also analyzed. With this approach, the literature study served as a reflective tool for constructing a coherent scientific understanding of identity in the digital age.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria were established to maintain the quality and relevance of the sources analyzed. The sources included were journal articles and academic books that explicitly discussed identity, social interaction, and digital technology as theoretical issues. Works that were popular in nature or lacked a clear academic basis were excluded from the study. The selection process was carried out by reading the abstract, research objectives, and conceptual contributions of each source. After the sources were selected, thematic coding was performed to identify patterns of ideas and relationships between concepts. The coding technique followed the thematic analysis approach developed by Braun and Clarke (2006), which emphasizes the systematic identification of themes through repeated reading. This process was followed by grouping themes to build a consistent analysis structure. In this way, the study did not stop at a description of the literature, but rather compiled a synthesis of focused ideas.

Quality assurance of the research was carried out through analytical consistency checks and source traceability. Each theme generated was tested through cross-work comparisons to ensure consistency of interpretation and avoid simplification of meaning. This approach is in line with the principles of qualitative analysis that emphasize clarity of process and transparency of reasoning as described by Miles et al. (2014). The reliability of the synthesis is further strengthened through conceptual dialogue between the theories analyzed, giving the research strong academic validity. The documentation of the stages of tracing, selection, and coding is carried out systematically so that this research can be retraced by other researchers. With this method, the literature study is expected to produce an argumentative mapping of ideas regarding the identity crisis in the digital age, which can be a conceptual reference for further research.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Digital Technology and the Process of Individual Identity Formation

The development of digital technology has created a new field for individuals to understand themselves through continuous symbolic relationships (Cover, 2015). Identity is no longer understood as the end result of a stable social process, but rather as a construction that is constantly being reinterpreted. This ongoing reinterpretation is at the heart of self-identity formation through social media interactions in the digital world (da Costa et al., 2022). Digital interactions provide a space for self-representation that allows individuals to choose, compile, and display certain images in accordance with prevailing social expectations. This process requires a high level of reflective awareness, as individuals must weigh the relationship between personal experience and the image they present. When self-representation becomes the primary means of social communication, identity moves from the realm of existential experience to the symbolic realm. This shift affects how individuals assess their sense of self, as self-meaning increasingly depends on the interpretations of others present through technological mediums.

The formation of identity through digital technology occurs through conscious and unconscious symbol selection mechanisms (Veliev, 2024). Individuals choose certain aspects of themselves to display, while other aspects are hidden or suppressed. This process creates a distance between the experienced self and the represented self. The long-term consequence of this distance is that it can affect how individuals understand their own continuity. This curated self-presentation departs from more traditional and foundational identity-shaping processes, such as those occurring within the family unit (Amin, 2023). Identity becomes the result of a negotiation between the need for social recognition and the effort to maintain personal integrity. When the pressure to represent oneself increases, individuals potentially lose their sense of self-meaning, because identity is treated as a construct that must be constantly adjusted to rapidly changing social responses.

The digital space provides opportunities for individuals to experiment with identity, but these opportunities come with the demand for constant adaptation. Identity becomes a never-ending project, as each interaction opens up new possibilities for assessment. The behavioral patterns of individuals in this digital arena, including their strategic

choices, can be studied in ways analogous to how manager behavior is analyzed in the context of utilizing information for strategic decision-making (Gardi & Darmawan, 2022). Social acceptance of digital identity use is greatly influenced by demographics, citizens' experience with blockchain products, familiarity with financial products, and concerns about privacy, reflecting how digital identity is not only flexible but also influenced by ever-changing social and technological factors (Friedhoff et al., 2023). Individuals face expectations to always be relevant, responsive, and in line with prevailing symbolic norms. This situation encourages the formation of fluid identities, where stability is replaced by flexibility. This flexibility can provide space for freedom of expression, but it also creates uncertainty about the integrity of one's sense of self. Constantly changing identities face the risk of losing direction when not balanced with adequate personal reflection.

Digital technology is changing the relationship between individuals and communities in the process of identity formation. Social membership is no longer determined by geographical proximity or institutional ties, but rather by symbolic participation in digital spaces. Individuals can connect with diverse communities that offer different values and meanings. This situation enriches sources of identification, but also increases the complexity of the process of self-meaning. Individuals must navigate diverse, intersecting value frameworks. This navigation echoes the broader societal exploration of how cultural symbols and narratives, like those in literary works, can be used to form and maintain a cohesive sense of identity (Kurniawan et al., 2021). Digital identity, online persona, or virtual self refer to the amassed electronic information that represents us as individuals, what we reveal about ourselves, and the outcomes of our human-machine or machine-machine interactions (Quintas-Mendes & Paiva, 2023). When the ability to harmonize these frameworks is limited, identity has the potential to become fragmented. This fragmentation reflects the tension between plurality of meaning and the need for personal coherence.

The process of identity formation through digital technology is often influenced by the logic of visibility. Social recognition is obtained through symbolic visibility generated by digital activities, which are framed by platform design and attention distribution mechanisms (Gillespie, 2010). Individuals are encouraged to measure their self-worth based on social responses that manifest in the form of observable attention, approval, or recognition. Dependence on these symbolic indicators influences how individuals assess their self-worth, as social existence is increasingly understood through signs that can be counted and displayed (Hogan, 2010). Identity moves towards the performative realm, where social existence is recognized to the extent that it can be seen and assessed by others. This condition creates tension between the need for social recognition and efforts to maintain a sense of self rooted in personal experiences that cannot always be represented symbolically (Bucher, 2012).

The language and digital symbols function as the primary medium for identity formation. Self-representation is constructed through the choice of words, images, and narratives tailored to a specific interactive space, where each medium has its own representational logic (Manovich, 2008). The implicit rules inherent in the platform shape the way individuals present themselves and determine what is considered relevant, appropriate, or interesting. Adaptation to these rules influences how individuals understand the limits of self-expression, as representation possibilities are constrained by available technical formats and symbolic conventions (Hogan, 2010). These modern digital expressions interact with and sometimes challenge more enduring processes of identity formation rooted in tradition and long-standing social structures (Binti Ismail, 2021). When symbolic rules become dominant, identity risks narrowing in meaning, as self-expression must conform to predetermined representational structures. This process shows that technology is not neutral, but actively shapes the structures of identity interpretation through symbolic mechanisms integrated into its use (Rettberg, 2014).

The formation of digital identity involves an intense internal dialogue between self-perception and the perception of others. Individuals continuously interpret the social feedback they receive through technology. This feedback influences how individuals revise their self-narratives. Fullwood et al. (2016) found that adolescents with higher self-presentation concerns on social media reported lower levels of self-concept clarity, suggesting that attempts to manage online impressions may coincide with uncertainty about one's own identity. The psychological impact of this feedback can be significant, relating to broader studies on how stigma and discrimination affect identity and well-being in complex societies (Pakpahan et al., 2022). Social feedback and efforts to manage one's online image can trigger confusion in identity formation. When feedback is contradictory, individuals may experience confusion in interpreting their position. This confusion indicates the existence of psychosocial pressure arising from the misalignment between self-expectations and social expectations. Identity becomes an arena of tension that requires reflective efforts to maintain self-meaning.

Digital technology accelerates the cycle of social interaction, causing the process of identity formation to occur at a much faster pace than in traditional social relationships. This acceleration reduces the space for contemplation that individuals need to interpret experiences, values, and social expectations in a meaningful way. In many digital interactions, individuals are required to respond instantly, often prioritizing speed and visibility over depth of reflection. As a result, identity tends to be constructed reactively, shaped by immediate feedback, trends, and social validation, rather than through a reflective and deliberate process. This condition indicates that identity crises in the digital era are not merely driven by shifting values, but are also structurally influenced by the accelerated social rhythm produced by digital technology, which limits opportunities for self-exploration and critical self-evaluation.

Within digital spaces, the boundaries between personal identity and social identity become increasingly blurred, as private expressions can easily transform into public content. These blurring challenges individuals to manage how they present themselves across multiple platforms and social contexts simultaneously. When these boundaries weaken, maintaining a coherent and consistent self-narrative becomes more difficult, as individuals must continuously adjust their identity to meet the expectations of diverse and often conflicting audiences. Such continuous adaptation demands advanced interpretive and emotional regulation skills to negotiate meaning and authenticity. When these skills are insufficiently developed, individuals may experience psychological pressure, confusion, or fragmentation of self-meaning, indicating that the digital environment intensifies identity vulnerability by amplifying social exposure and interpretive complexity at the same time.

The process of forming digital identity is also influenced by algorithms that regulate visibility and interaction. Algorithms function as a selection mechanism that determines what is visible, who interacts, and how self-representation is accepted in the digital space (Beer, 2013). Although they often work transparently and outside the user's awareness, these mechanisms shape the structure of identity opportunities by directing attention, relevance, and social engagement (Kitchin, 2014). Individuals then adapt to the patterns generated by algorithms to maintain social visibility, for example by adjusting the timing, form, and style of self-representation to align with the logic of the system. This adaptation directly influences the symbolic choices individuals make and the direction of their digital identity formation (Bucher, 2016). When algorithmic structures become dominant, identities risk being shaped by technical logic oriented toward efficiency and prediction, which does not always align with individuals' existential needs for meaning, continuity, and self-reflection (Pasquale, 2015).

The identity crisis in this process arises as an accumulation of tension between self-reflection and the demands of social representation. Individuals find themselves in a situation where identity must be constantly updated in order to remain recognized in a system that assesses existence through continuous activity and visibility (Zuboff, 2015). When this updating of identity is not accompanied by coherent and reflective meaning, identity loses its symbolic and existential orientation. This crisis does not always appear as open conflict, but rather as a confusion of meaning that develops slowly through repetitive digital interactions. This uncertainty reflects the structural challenges in identity formation in the digital age, where subjectivity is increasingly tied to data processing systems and calculative logic that are beyond individual control (Couldry & Mejias, 2019).

This analysis shows that digital technology shapes the process of identity formation through mechanisms of symbolic representation, accelerated interaction, and complex structures of visibility. Individual identity develops through continuous negotiation between personal experience and social expectations mediated by technology. When this negotiation is not balanced with adequate reflection, tensions of meaning arise that mark an identity crisis. Understanding this process provides a conceptual basis for reading the dynamics of identity in digital society and serves as an analytical foundation for further discussion.

Digital Social Interaction and Identity Meaning Tension

Social interactions mediated by digital technology form patterns of relationships that differ from conventional social encounters (Yıldız & Nur, 2024). Human relationships occur through the exchange of symbols that are not always rooted in continuous shared experiences. Individuals interact through fragmented short messages, images, and gestures, so that the meaning of others and oneself develop through limited symbolic interpretation. This transformation is a key feature of contemporary social structures, shaped profoundly by digital technology and large data systems (Rojak et al., 2024). In this condition, social identity is no longer formed through complete recognition, but through a series of selectively arranged impressions. This process creates tension because individuals must interpret their position based on partial information. When social interactions are intense but disconnected from the depth of relationships, the meaning of social identity becomes fragile. Individuals may feel broadly connected, but at the same time find it difficult to build a stable sense of meaning in their social relationships (Block, 2018).

The tension of identity meaning in digital social interactions arises from the difference between symbolic closeness and existential distance (Bozkurt & Tu, 2016). Individuals can communicate with many people simultaneously, but such communication often does not result in lasting emotional attachment. Social relationships become episodic, where interactions can cease without clear social consequences. This condition affects how individuals understand social membership and belonging. Social identity is no longer strongly tied to long-term commitment, but rather to temporary symbolic participation. When a sense of belonging is not formed in a stable manner, individuals face difficulties in defining their position in the social structure. These dynamics are not confined to personal identity; they also manifest in broader socio-economic contexts, such as the integration of digital tools in rural community resource management, with profound cultural implications (Özkaya, 2024). This tension marks a fundamental shift in how social identity is constructed and maintained.

Digital social interaction also introduces intense social comparison logic. Individuals are continuously exposed to selective representations of others that tend to showcase the ideal aspects of social life. This exposure shapes symbolic standards that influence how individuals assess themselves, as success, happiness, and social acceptance are presented as

something that can be observed and compared (Chou & Edge, 2012). Social identity develops through a process of continuous evaluation, in which individuals compare their achievements, lifestyles, and levels of social recognition as they appear in digital spaces (Vogel et al., 2014). This evaluative process can reinforce uncertainty about self-meaning when individuals feel out of step with the symbolic standards that appear dominant. Identity meaning tension arises when self-worth is measured through representational benchmarks that do not always reflect the complexity of personal experience. Social interactions filled with such comparisons narrow the space for reflection and encourage the formation of identities that are vulnerable to ongoing social pressure (Fox & Moreland, 2015).

The structure of digital communication also shapes the way individuals respond to and interpret social relationships. Interactions take place at a rapid pace, with expectations of immediate and repeated responses. This speed reduces the opportunity for individuals to deeply interpret their social experiences, as their attention is fragmented by the constant flow of communication (Rosa, 2013). Individuals often react situationally and impulsively, rather than through long-term reflection integrated into their self-narrative. This can result in social identities being formed reactively, following the logic of fast and temporary interactions without a stable orientation of meaning (Wajcman, 2015). When this pattern is repeated, individuals can potentially lose their conceptual grasp of who they are in social relationships. his cognitive fragmentation is exacerbated by the digital divide, where unequal access to technology and digital skills creates disparities in how people can even participate in these identity-forming spaces (Arifin & Darmawan, 2021). The tension of identity meaning develops as a result of the accumulation of reactive responses that are not organized into a coherent and continuous identity narrative (Ling, 2012).

In the digital space, social interactions often take place in front of a wide and diverse audience. Individuals express themselves to many parties at once, each of whom has different expectations. This condition requires complex social expression adjustments. Social identity must be adjusted so that it can be accepted by various groups simultaneously (Zahid & Darmawan, 2022). This adjustment creates interpretive pressure because individuals must maintain consistency in their self-meaning amid a plurality of demands. When this adjustment is not managed, social identity has the potential to fragment into various symbolic roles that are difficult to unite. Meaningful tension arises from efforts to maintain identity coherence in layered communication situations.

Digital social interactions form symbolic power relations that influence identity formation (Castells, 2000). Social visibility is determined by technical mechanisms that regulate communication flows, such as algorithms, platform architecture, and attention distribution logic (van Dijck, 2013). Individuals who are more visible gain greater recognition, while those who are less visible risk being marginalized. The governance of digital spaces, including corporate responsibility for content, directly shapes these power relations and their implications for social identity (Batubara et al., 2024). This structure influences how individuals perceive their social value, as social identity becomes closely linked to symbolic visibility (Couldry, 2012). When recognition depends on mechanisms that are not fully controllable by individuals, tension arises between attempts at self-expression and the results of social recognition. This tension shows that social identity in digital spaces is formed through asymmetrical relationships, which influence the sense of symbolic justice in social interactions (Fuchs, 2014).

Digital social relationships often blur the line between presence and absence. Individuals can be symbolically present without real existential involvement, for example through representational signs such as posts, reactions, or other digital traces (Turkle, 2011). This kind of presence affects how individuals understand social relationships as a source of identity meaning. When social presence is superficial, social identity loses the depth of meaning that is usually obtained through direct involvement and ongoing interpersonal relationships (Bauman, 2000). Individuals may feel symbolically recognized, but still experience a void of meaning in their social relationships. This superficiality and the prevalence of misinformation can further erode the trust and meaningful participation that are foundational to community life (Issalillah & Hardyansah, 2022). This tension reflects the difference between symbolic recognition and the experience of true meaning, which characterizes social relationships in digital modernity (Turkle, 2011).

Digital social interactions also influence identity formation through symbolic grouping mechanisms. Individuals tend to interact with those who share similar views, values, or preferences, facilitated by network logic and content personalization (Sunstein, 2001). This grouping reinforces a sense of symbolic togetherness, but at the same time limits exposure to differences. Social identity is formed in a relatively symbolically homogeneous space, so that the process of negotiating meaning takes place within narrow boundaries (Castells, 2000). When individuals are confronted with different views, meaning tension can increase due to a lack of interpretive readiness and experience of dialogical cross-differences (Couldry, 2012). This condition shows that social identity in digital spaces develops within the dynamics between symbolic uniformity and the potential for latent conflicts of meaning.

The process of digital social interaction often demands controlled emotional performance. Individuals are expected to display responses that conform to certain symbolic norms that apply in digital communication spaces (Papacharissi, 2010). This emotional adjustment affects the way individuals express themselves and interpret social experiences, because emotions are no longer entirely spontaneous, but rather become part of social impression management. When emotional expression is constrained by symbolic norms and audience expectations, social identity risks losing its authenticity (Illouz, 2007). Tension arises when individuals feel compelled to adjust their emotional

expression for the sake of social acceptance and the continuity of digital relationships. Social identity then becomes an arena of negotiation between emotional honesty and the symbolic demands institutionalized by digital communication practices (Marwick, 2013).

The tension surrounding the meaning of identity in digital social interactions is closely related to the uncertainty that characterizes many online relationships. In digital environments, social ties can change abruptly without clear explanation, for instance through the sudden cessation of communication, unfollowing, or the disappearance of symbolic presence that previously signified connection. This condition forces individuals to confront the possibility of relational breakdown without a meaningful process of closure, making relationships feel fragile and difficult to interpret (Licoppe, 2007). The absence of clear relational boundaries and explanations weakens the sense of predictability that is essential for social security. Consequently, this uncertainty affects individuals' capacity to construct a sustainable and continuous identity narrative, as social recognition becomes unstable. When relationships fail to provide continuity and shared meaning, social identity becomes easily shaken and loses its reflective grounding, increasing vulnerability to identity disruption (Baym, 2010).

Digital social interactions also contribute to the formation of fragmented collective experiences that shape identity in complex ways. Individuals participate in multiple conversations, networks, and social contexts that often operate independently and are not fully interconnected. As a result, social experiences are encountered simultaneously but remain separated, making it difficult to integrate them into a unified and coherent understanding of the self (Gergen, 2009). This fragmentation generates tension when individuals attempt to reconcile diverse roles, expectations, and symbolic meanings into a single self-narrative. The process of integration demands a high level of reflective capacity, interpretive skill, and self-awareness to prevent identity from becoming scattered. Without sufficient reflection, social identity risks losing direction amid the acceleration, density, and multiplicity of digital interactions, where meanings overlap but are rarely fully consolidated (Rainie & Wellman, 2012).

Overall, this discussion demonstrates that digital social interactions shape identity tension through rapid, fragmented, and layered patterns of symbolic relations. Social identity develops through a continuous process of recognition, comparison, negotiation, and adjustment that unfolds across various digital contexts. This ongoing dynamic intensifies identity work, as individuals must constantly respond to changing social cues and expectations. When such processes are not supported by coherent meaning management and reflective integration, social identity becomes vulnerable to instability and fragmentation. Therefore, understanding these dynamics provides an important analytical foundation for examining how identity crises emerge within technology-mediated social relations. This perspective also serves as a conceptual basis for drawing more comprehensive conclusions in the subsequent stage of analysis.

CONCLUSIONS

This research shows that the identity crisis in the digital age is rooted in fundamental changes in how individuals construct meaning through technology-mediated social interactions. Identity is no longer formed through stable, long-term social relationships, but rather through a series of rapidly changing, fragmented, and constantly reinterpreted symbolic representations. This process places individuals in a fragile position because their sense of self increasingly depends on temporary social recognition. Tension arises when individuals try to maintain identity coherence amid demands for representation and layered social adjustments. Technology accelerates the rhythm of interaction, expands the reach of relationships, and at the same time reduces the space for reflection needed to build continuity in the narrative of the self. The accumulation of these conditions creates a crisis situation that is not always explicitly apparent, but manifests itself as confusion of meaning, uncertainty of social position, and difficulty in maintaining identity orientation in social life.

The theoretical implications of this study lie in strengthening the understanding that identity needs to be understood as an interpretive process that is vulnerable to the symbolic structures of technology. This approach encourages the development of social thinking that is more sensitive to the relationship between representation, recognition, and individual existential experiences. From a practical perspective, understanding the tension surrounding the meaning of identity can serve as a foundation for education, social policy, and professional practices that deal directly with the digital generation. Awareness of the symbolic pressures faced by individuals opens up space for the design of social and institutional environments that provide room for reflection, rather than just quick responses. This research thus provides a conceptual foundation for efforts to build social practices that are more in tune with individuals' needs for meaning in the midst of digital life.

Further research is recommended to expand the analysis of digital identity through an interdisciplinary approach that connects social thought, philosophy, and cultural psychology. Future studies could examine how individuals develop reflective strategies to maintain identity coherence amid the pressures of symbolic representation. Additionally, it is important to examine the role of social institutions in providing spaces for dialogue that enable individuals to construct meaning for themselves in a sustainable manner. Further literature studies can also map shifts in the concept of identity

as technology changes, so that academic understanding remains relevant to ever-changing social dynamics. These efforts are expected to enrich the scientific discourse on identity and provide a strong conceptual basis for future research.

REFERENCES

Amin, M. I. (2023). The Role of Family in Shaping Identity: A Study of Internal Mechanisms and Socio-Cultural Transmission. Journal of Social Science Studies, 3(1), 381-382.

Arifin, S., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Technology Access and Digital Skills: Bridging the Gaps in Education and Employment Opportunities in the Age of Technology 4.0. Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(1), 163-168.

Batubara, R. H., Dirgantara, F., & Putra, A. R. (2024). Corporate Responsibility for Digital Content Piracy and Its Implications for Business Governance in Indonesia. Journal of Social Science Studies, 4(1), 201-208.

Bauman, Z. (2000). Liquid Modernity. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Baym, N. K. (2010). Personal Connections in the Digital Age. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Beer, D. (2013). Popular Culture and New Media: The Politics of Circulation. London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.

Binti Ismail, A. (2021). Tradition and Social Identity Formation in Society. Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(2), 221-226.

Block, P. (2018). Community: The Structure of Belonging. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

Boyd, D. (2014). It's Complicated: The Social Lives of Networked Teens. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Bozkurt, A., & Tu, C. H. (2016). Digital Identity Formation: Socially Being Real and Present on Digital Networks. Educational Media International, 53(3), 153-167.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101.

Bucher, T. (2012). Want to be on the Top? Algorithmic Power and the Threat of Invisibility on Facebook. New Media & Society, 14(7), 1164–1180.

Bucher, T. (2019). The Algorithmic Imaginary: Exploring the Ordinary Affects of Facebook Algorithms. In the Social Power of Algorithms. New York: Routledge.

Castells, M. (2000). The Rise of the Network Society (2nd ed.). Oxford, UK: Blackwell.

Chou, H. T. G., & Edge, N. (2012). “They are Happier and Having Better Lives Than I Am”: The Impact of Using Facebook on Perceptions of Others’ Lives. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 15(2), 117–121.

Cooper, H. (2010). Research Synthesis and Meta-Analysis: A Step-by-Step Approach. London: Sage Publications.

costa, S. da, Darmawan, D., & Isaac, A. de J. (2022). Self-Identity Formation and Social Perception of Individuals through Interaction on Social Media in a Digital World. Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(2), 273-278.

Couldry, N. (2012). Media, Society, World: Social Theory and Digital Media Practice. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Couldry, N., & Mejias, U. A. (2019). Data Colonialism: Rethinking Big Data’s Relation to the Contemporary Subject. Television & New Media, 20(4), 336-349.

Cover, R. (2015). Digital Identities: Creating and Communicating the Online Self. Boston: Academic Press.

da Cruz, C., Issalillah, F., & Fariz, F. A. B. M. (2024). Alterations in Social Interaction Patterns and Identity in the Era of Social Media and Digital Culture. International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 6(3), 39–47.

Darmawan, D., & de Jesus Isaac, A. (2022). Self-Identity Formation and Social Perception of Individuals through Interaction on Social Media in a Digital World. Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(2), 273-278.

Fox, J., & Moreland, J. J. (2015). The Dark Side of Social Networking Sites: An Exploration of the Relational and Psychological Stressors Associated with Facebook Use. Computers in Human Behavior, 45, 168–176.

Friedhoff, T., Au, C. D., Ladnar, N., Stein, D., & Zureck, A. (2023). Analysis of Social Acceptance for the Use of Digital Identities. Computers, 12(3), 1-20.

Fuchs, C. (2014). Social Media: A Critical Introduction. London, UK: Sage.

Fullwood, C., James, B. M., & Chen-Wilson, C. H. (2016). Self-Concept Clarity and Online Self-Presentation in Adolescents. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 19(12), 716-720.

Gardi, B., & Darmawan, D. (2022). The Role of Manager Behavior in the Utilization of Accounting Information for Corporate Strategic Decision Making. Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(1), 111-118.

Gergen, K. J. (2009). Relational Being: Beyond Self and Community. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Gillespie, T. (2010). The Politics of “Platforms”. New Media & Society, 12(3), 347–364.

Hogan, B. (2010). The Presentation of Self in the age of Social Media: Distinguishing Performances and Exhibitions Online. Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society, 30(6), 377–386.

Illouz, E. (2007). Consuming the Romantic Utopia: Love and the Cultural Contradictions of Capitalism. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Issalillah, F., & Hardyansah, R. (2022). The Impact of the Digital Divide and Misinformation on Partici-pation and Trust in Local Communities. Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(2), 7-12.

Jenkins, H. (2006). Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide. New York, NY: New York University Press.

Kitchenham, B. (2007). Guidelines for Performing Systematic Literature Reviews in Software Engineering. Technical Report, EBSE-2007-01. Keele University.

Kitchin, R. (2014). The Data Revolution: Big Data, Open Data, Data Infrastructures and Their Consequences. London, UK: Sage.

Kurniawan, Y., Rojak, J. A., Darmawan, D., & Fajar, A. S. M. (2021). Exploration of Literary Works as Media to Form and Maintain National Identity through Narratives and Cultural Symbols. Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(2), 187-192.

Licoppe, C. (2007). Connected Presence: The Emergence of a New Repertoire for Managing Social Relationships in a Changing Communication Technoscape. Environment and Planning D: Society and Space, 25(1), 135–156.

Ling, R. (2012). Taken for Grantedness: The Embedding of Mobile Communication into Society. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Manganas, N. (2024). Crisis Cultures: Narratives of Western Modernity in the Digital Age. Bloomsbury Publishing USA.

Manovich, L. (2008). The Language of New Media. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Marwick, A. E. (2013). Status Update: Celebrity, Publicity, and Branding in the Social Media Age. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Masnawati, E., Retnowati, E., Mardikaningsih, R., Darmawan, D., & Munir, M. (2022). Entrepreneurial Mindset Building in Adolescents: Learning Strategies, Potential Identification, Business Initiation, and Social Media Empowerment. International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 2(2), 42-47.

Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook. London: Sage Publications.

Negara, D. S., & Darmawan, D. (2023). Digital Empowerment: Ensuring Legal Protections for Online Arisan Engagements. Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society, 2(2), 13-19.

Nur, Z. (2024). Adaptation, Interaction, and Collective Identity Formation in New Communities. International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 6(2), 38–44.
Oluwatosin, A., & Rojak, J. A. (2023). The Role of Cultural Identity to Build Social Cohesion: Challenges, Implications, and Integration Strategies in Multicultural Societies. Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society, 2(1), 56–62.
Özkaya, Z. N. (2024). Technological Integration of Digital in Natural Resources Management and its Implications on Socio-Economic and Cultural Aspects in Rural Communities. Journal of Social Science Studies, 4(1), 123-128.
Pakpahan, N. H., Darmawan, D., & Rojak, J. A. (2022). Racial Discrimination and How Psychological Wellbeing and Social Engagement Impacts: A Review of the Literature on Identity, Stigma, and Coping Strategies in Multicultural Societies. Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(1), 87-94.
Papacharissi, Z. (2010). A Private Sphere: Democracy in a Digital Age. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
Pasquale, F. (2015). The Black Box Society: The Secret Algorithms that Control Money and Information. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). Systematic Reviews in the Social Sciences: A Practical Guide. Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing.
Quintas-Mendes, A., & Paiva, A. (2023). Digital Presence and Online Identity among Digital Scholars: A Thematic Analysis. Social Sciences, 12(7), 1-18.
Rainie, L., & Wellman, B. (2012). Networked: The New Social Operating System. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
Rettberg, J. W. (2014). Seeing Ourselves through Technology: How We Use Selfies, Blogs and Wearable Devices to See and Shape Ourselves. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
Rojak, J. A., Fajar, A. S. M., & Fauzi, A. . (2024). Digital Technology and Large Data in Contemporary Social Structures. Journal of Social Science Studies, 4(1), 437-446.
Rosa, H. (2013). Social Acceleration: A New Theory of Modernity. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.
Saldaña, J. (2013). The Coding Manual for Qualitative Researchers. London: Sage Publications.
Schmader, T., & Sedikides, C. (2018). State authenticity as fit to environment: The implications of social identity for fit, authenticity, and Self-Segregation. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 22(3), 228-259.
Sunstein, C. R. (2001). Republic.com. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
Turkle, S. (2011). Alone Together: Why we Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other. New York, NY: Basic Books.
van Dijck, J. (2013). The Culture of Connectivity: A Critical History of Social Media. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
Veliev, D. J. (2024). Digital Consciousness and Identity. Skhid, 6(1), 50-55.
Vogel, E. A., Rose, J. P., Roberts, L. R., & Eckles, K. (2014). Social Comparison, Social Media, and Self-Esteem. Psychology of Popular Media Culture, 3(4), 206–222.
Wajcman, J. (2015). Pressed for Time: The Acceleration of Life in Digital Capitalism. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
Yıldız, D., & Nur, Z. (2024). Transformation of Social Interaction in the Digital Age: Impact, Challenges, and Prospects of Technology in Social Relationships. Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society, 3(3), 49-54.
Zahid, R. A., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Analyze the Effect of Social Stereotypes on Intergroup Relations in Society and Social Equality. Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(2), 195-200.
Zuboff, S. (2015). Big Other: Surveillance Capitalism and the Prospects of an Information Civilization. Journal of Information Technology, 30(1), 75–89.
Zulkarnain, M. A. B. (2024). The Dynamics of Globalization and Local Cultural Identity: Challenges, Opportunities, and the Role of Digital Technology in Cultural Preservation. Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society, 3(1), 71–77.