

A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF COUNSELING'S CONTRIBUTION TO STUDENT CHARACTER DEVELOPMENT IN UNIVERSITY EDUCATION

Jeje Abdul Rojak, Abbas Sofwan Matlail Fajar, Didit Darmawan

Universitas Islam Negeri Sunan Ampel Surabaya, Universitas Islam Tribakti Lirboyo Kediri, Universitas Sunan Giri Surabaya

correspondence: dr.diditdarmawan@gmail.com

Abstract - This literature review investigates how counseling contributes to the character development of university students. With reference to various references. This study synthesizes conceptual frameworks, empirical findings, and applied models that explain the relationship between counseling practices and students' moral formation. Results indicate that counseling supports the development of emotional intelligence, moral reasoning, identity integration, and prosocial behavior. Counseling is recognized not merely as therapeutic support but as a structured educational function embedded in student affairs and developmental curricula. It assists students in navigating value conflicts, reinforcing ethical behavior, and fostering reflective judgment. The discussion reveals that the effectiveness of counseling depends on its institutional alignment, counselor competence, and adaptability to diverse student identities. Group counseling, digital platforms, and cross-cultural approaches expand the reach and relevance of character education through counseling. The review concludes that counseling provides a unique channel for instilling integrity and civic responsibility within academic settings and suggests further research into its long-term influence on personal and social ethics.

Keywords: university counseling, student character, moral development, emotional intelligence, ethical behavior, higher education, reflective practice

INTRODUCTION

The landscape of higher education has witnessed an increasing emphasis on the comprehensive development of students beyond academic proficiency. Universities are no longer confined to knowledge transmission alone, but are also expected to cultivate moral discernment, social responsibility, and ethical behavior among their students (Akrivou & Bradbury-Huang, 2015). In such an environment, character development is seen as an integral objective of institutional missions. With diverse social influences acting upon students during their formative academic years, educational institutions seek structured and sustained methods to promote personal integrity and civic consciousness. One of the interventions utilized to foster these outcomes is the provision of counseling services within campus environments (Jenney, 2011).

Counseling in academic institutions encompasses more than psychological assistance; it serves as a framework through which students can reflect on their personal values, develop self-regulation, and navigate ethical dilemmas that arise in academic and social life. University counselors are increasingly recognized as facilitators in shaping students' ability to make responsible decisions and construct coherent personal narratives (Collins et al., 2015). Through consistent engagement and value-based guidance, students are encouraged to internalize principles that align with socially constructive behavior. As a result, counseling becomes a medium through which character development is not only supported but structured within educational discourse (Kadieva et al., 2014).

The institutionalization of counseling services reflects a shift in how universities perceive their developmental responsibility. Rather than addressing crisis alone, counseling is positioned as a proactive educational function. The process fosters emotional maturity, respect for diversity, accountability, and reflective judgment—traits central to character formation (Crossan et al., 2013). Through counseling interventions, students often acquire the resilience and ethical clarity required to contribute meaningfully to academic communities and society. The literature reflects this growing alignment between counseling practices and the moral objectives of higher education.

Despite its increasing institutional presence, the precise mechanisms through which counseling contributes to students' character development remain a subject of inquiry (Bendermacher et al., 2017). Some scholars emphasize emotional intelligence as an outcome, while others underline moral reasoning or prosocial behavior. The diversity in frameworks and assessment tools leads to varying interpretations of what constitutes successful character formation. Hence, it becomes necessary to examine how counseling has been studied, which aspects of character have been emphasized, and how universities conceptualize and evaluate the integration of these two domains.

Existing literature presents multiple concerns regarding the consistency, accessibility, and theoretical grounding of counseling in higher education. Gladding (1996) noted that while counseling is embedded within the academic structure of many institutions, its philosophical orientation often lacks clarity. Some programs prioritize therapeutic goals, while others emphasize development and prevention. This ambiguity may lead to uneven outcomes in students' moral development, depending on the orientation and competence of the counselor (Oramas, 2017).

Brown and Srebalus (1991) identified that the limited scope of counselor-student interaction may hinder the possibility of long-term character development. When counseling is structured around short-term interventions, the

opportunity for building moral insight and behavioral change becomes limited. Furthermore, fragmented institutional support may isolate counseling units from broader academic goals, weakening their potential impact on character-related competencies (Kleimann, 2013).

Loesch (1980) emphasized the need for integration between counseling and the academic mission of the university. Without a unified approach that aligns counseling with character-building curricula, students may experience counseling as peripheral rather than central to their development. Such structural detachment reduces the relevance of counseling in shaping the ethical atmosphere of the academic environment.

The necessity of investigating the role of counseling in the character formation of university students arises from the evolving nature of student challenges. As moral complexities increase in contemporary academic life, counseling must evolve from reactive practice to reflective guidance (Brown, 2019). Understanding its actual contribution to moral reasoning, empathy, and responsibility among students can offer critical insight for academic leaders, educational psychologists, and curriculum designers who wish to foster more intentional models of ethical development.

This inquiry gains relevance as educational institutions seek coherent approaches to character development in response to pluralistic social environments. The university, as a moral agent, must construct frameworks that not only educate the intellect but engage the conscience. Counseling, when effectively positioned, may serve as one such framework. Clarifying its role requires a rigorous examination of existing research and theoretical perspectives on its application within the moral and personal development of students.

This study seeks to explore and interpret the role of counseling in character development within university settings by systematically reviewing academic literature. The analysis focuses on identifying the conceptual frameworks, intervention models, and outcomes associated with counseling practices aimed at shaping student values, ethical behavior, and identity formation. The results are expected to contribute to institutional understanding and to provide conceptual clarity for embedding counseling into the broader developmental agenda of higher education institutions.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study applies a qualitative literature review methodology with a descriptive analytical focus to investigate the relationship between counseling practices and character development in higher education environments. The purpose of this approach is to synthesize existing theoretical frameworks, empirical studies, and conceptual writings that address the integration of counseling into moral and personal student growth. As described by Mertens (1998), a literature-based inquiry enables researchers to draw from multidisciplinary perspectives while constructing a critical understanding of patterns, debates, and theoretical contributions within a given subject area. This method is appropriate for examining how counseling has been positioned within educational institutions, what dimensions of character have been emphasized, and how counseling outcomes are defined and assessed in the literature.

Data collection was conducted through the identification and selection of academic publications such as peer-reviewed journal articles, research monographs, and educational handbooks published by recognized scholars and institutions. Sources were reviewed based on their conceptual relevance, theoretical clarity, and methodological transparency. Following the thematic analysis model articulated by Creswell (2003), data interpretation was structured around recurring ideas related to student character, counselor engagement, emotional development, and moral reasoning. Each text was examined to extract definitions, applied models, and reported results that contribute to understanding how counseling functions as a character-building mechanism. The qualitative nature of this review allows for a contextual examination of counseling interventions and their embeddedness within institutional philosophies and developmental objectives.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The development of character in higher education has emerged as an essential theme in discussions surrounding student formation. Institutions of higher learning are increasingly recognizing that their responsibility extends beyond intellectual instruction to include the cultivation of ethical discernment and personal accountability (Kahn & Agnew, 2017). In response, universities have begun to seek deliberate methods for nurturing values that prepare students for meaningful contributions to their communities. Among the avenues explored, counseling has surfaced as a methodologically grounded and theoretically supported pathway toward achieving these developmental aims (Carr, 2017).

Rather than functioning solely as a remedial service, counseling is now conceptualized as an integral part of the educational framework that supports human growth. Through structured conversations and reflective inquiry, students gain opportunities to confront internal dilemmas and consider principled courses of action (Brunstein & King, 2018). This dialogic process assists learners in constructing coherent value systems, improving their emotional fluency, and navigating interpersonal relationships with greater clarity and care. The personalized nature of counseling engagements offers a rare and valuable space within which ethical awareness can take root and expand (Farozin et al., 2020).

Many scholars have underscored the significance of developmental counseling in strengthening students' ability to define purpose and sustain moral commitments. By guiding individuals through phases of self-exploration and responsible decision-making, counselors serve as facilitators in shaping attitudes that align with socially constructive behaviors. When these sessions are rooted in developmental theory, they offer more than advice—they serve as interventions that reinforce long-term ethical orientation and self-regulation (Sanders et al., 2019).

Central to this framework is the understanding that identity formation is not a static outcome but an evolving process requiring intentional support. Students often encounter tensions between personal desires and communal expectations, particularly in diverse academic environments (Davis, 2015). Counseling provides an anchor during these periods of transition, allowing learners to assess their beliefs, reformulate intentions, and pursue integrity across multiple domains of life. This reflective scaffolding is instrumental in fostering habits of mind conducive to character development (Maiese, 2016).

Furthermore, the contribution of counseling to the regulation of emotion and cultivation of autonomy is well documented. When students are equipped with strategies for managing internal conflict and external pressures, they are more likely to engage with their surroundings from a position of balance and empathy (Warren, 2015). These internal capacities serve as cornerstones for principled behavior, enabling individuals to make decisions consistent with both personal and collective values. As such, emotional insight gained through counseling becomes a gateway to ethical maturity.

In their seminal model, Chickering & Reisser (1993) articulate vectors of development that correspond directly with these goals. Their framework places emphasis on autonomy, integrity, and purposeful living as essential components of student growth. Counseling, when aligned with these dimensions, acts as a mechanism through which abstract ideals are translated into lived practice. It not only supports students in navigating the complexities of academic life, but also reinforces their potential to lead with character and conviction in broader societal contexts (Holland, 2015).

The contribution of counseling to moral reasoning has been discussed extensively in developmental psychology. Rest (1986) proposed that moral development involves not only knowing what is right but also acting on that knowledge. University counselors, when applying structured interventions, assist students in navigating ethical dilemmas, thereby reinforcing moral judgment. Through case discussions, value clarification, and guided reflection, students often progress toward higher stages of moral reasoning, particularly when supported in a nonjudgmental environment (O'Flaherty & McGarr, 2014).

Evidence from campus-based counseling programs shows that sustained interaction with counselors can influence emotional intelligence, which is regarded as a foundational attribute in ethical conduct. Goleman (1995) emphasized that competencies such as self-awareness, empathy, and emotional regulation correlate strongly with character strength. Counseling fosters these attributes through active listening, emotional support, and the modeling of constructive communication. As emotional competence increases, students become more adept at managing interpersonal relationships and responding ethically to social challenges (Adigwe & Okoro, 2016).

Character development is also linked to the formation of internalized values. According to Kohlberg (1981), individuals progress through stages of moral understanding, with higher levels marked by internal principles rather than external approval. Counseling interventions that integrate philosophical inquiry, ethical reflection, and experiential learning help students examine personal values and align their actions with consistent ethical standards (Fichter, 2018). This internalization is often guided by trust-based relationships with counselors who serve as moral exemplars and facilitators.

In many cases, students encounter value conflicts during university life. The literature documents that these moments, if addressed through counseling, can become transformational (Nogueiras et al., 2019). Pascarella and Terenzini (1991) found that developmental outcomes are enhanced when students are supported in critically evaluating their beliefs and behaviors. Counseling creates a structured space where these evaluations can occur, reducing confusion and guiding students toward constructive identity consolidation.

Counseling also contributes to the formation of prosocial behavior, a dimension of character involving voluntary actions intended to benefit others. Eisenberg and Fabes (1998) linked empathy with prosocial tendencies, and empathy is one of the core outcomes of relational counseling. Through guided discussions, role-play, and peer mediation sessions, counselors promote empathetic understanding and conflict resolution skills. These experiences contribute to a campus environment characterized by respect, cooperation, and moral accountability (Paolini, 2019).

In terms of behavioral regulation, counseling provides students with tools for ethical decision-making in situations involving academic dishonesty, peer pressure, and personal integrity (Ozdemir & İyaydın, 2019). Research by Corey and Corey (1997) emphasized the importance of ethical modeling by counselors, suggesting that students internalize codes of conduct when those standards are consistently exemplified. Counselors thus function as both advisors and ethical anchors within the academic setting.

The impact of counseling is particularly evident in multicultural environments where students bring diverse value systems. Sue and Sue (1990) highlight the importance of cultural competence in counseling, suggesting that understanding and validating cultural narratives enhance moral inclusivity. Counseling services that recognize and incorporate cultural identity into their character-building framework provide a more equitable platform for ethical development across diverse student populations (Travis, 2013).

Group counseling models have been shown to amplify character outcomes by introducing collective reflection and shared accountability. Gazda et al. (2001) found that students who participate in group counseling report improved

cooperation, increased tolerance, and a greater sense of moral responsibility. The interactive nature of group dynamics enhances the internalization of character values, particularly when structured around themes such as fairness, justice, and respect (Killen et al., 2016).

Digital and online counseling platforms have expanded the reach of character education. Although face-to-face interaction remains central, studies by Sampson et al. (2004) indicate that asynchronous counseling tools can effectively facilitate ethical self-reflection and decision-making. The anonymity and accessibility of these platforms appeal to students who may hesitate to seek direct guidance, thus reinforcing the importance of diversified counseling channels in supporting character formation (Kocamaner, 2017). Moreover, these platforms provide consistent support across geographic boundaries, enabling equitable access regardless of students' location. They also offer digital archives of interactions, which can help counselors monitor developmental progress over time. Interactive modules embedded within such platforms can personalize moral dilemmas, allowing learners to engage with scenarios that mirror real-life choices. Additionally, the integration of AI-driven feedback mechanisms offers immediate, tailored responses that reinforce positive behaviors. Lastly, the flexibility of scheduling asynchronous counseling reduces barriers for students with diverse academic, familial, or occupational responsibilities, thereby ensuring more inclusive participation in character development initiatives.

The effectiveness of counseling in character development also depends on institutional alignment. According to Noddings (1992), ethical education must be embedded within the institution's philosophy and operationalized through consistent messaging. When counseling is integrated into orientation programs, academic advisement, and student affairs, its impact on character becomes more sustained and institutionalized (Vianden & Barlow, 2015). In such environments, students are more likely to perceive moral guidance as a shared and legitimate concern across the educational experience. This alignment also empowers counselors to act not as isolated mentors but as part of a coordinated support network. Institutions that clearly articulate ethical expectations in their mission statements and codes of conduct reinforce the legitimacy of character-building efforts. Furthermore, institutional investment in counselor training ensures that ethical frameworks are applied consistently across diverse student populations. Ultimately, when counseling is framed within a coherent institutional ethos, it strengthens students' internalization of values and promotes moral agency as part of their educational identity.

In addition to individual outcomes, counseling contributes to the ethical climate of the campus. Komives and Woodard (2003) argue that ethical communities arise when character-building is not isolated to the counseling center but is part of a collaborative effort among faculty, staff, and students. Counselors who engage with academic departments and participate in interdisciplinary character initiatives help cultivate a unified moral culture across campus (Arnold et al., 2014). This integrative approach reinforces shared ethical norms and creates consistent expectations for behavior across various student experiences. Collaborative models also provide more touchpoints for reinforcing moral reasoning through both formal curricula and informal campus interactions. As faculty and staff model ethical conduct in partnership with counseling professionals, students encounter congruent moral messages that deepen internalization. Furthermore, sustained dialogue among departments ensures that ethical development evolves in line with the institution's educational goals. When counseling aligns with broader pedagogical strategies, it enables the formation of a resilient, values-based community that transcends disciplinary boundaries.

Character formation through counseling is not instantaneous; it is a longitudinal process requiring consistent reinforcement. Studies by Astin (1993) and Bringle et al. (2019) suggest that long-term exposure to developmental counseling correlates with increased civic responsibility and ethical engagement post-graduation. Therefore, counseling is not only relevant for current student conduct but has enduring implications for societal contribution.

One area of increasing relevance is the counselor's role in facilitating responses to ethical crises. When students confront academic or personal misconduct, counseling offers a restorative framework rather than punitive reaction. Basing interventions on ethical reasoning and value repair supports long-term behavioral change and fosters a rehabilitative culture.

Finally, while counseling shows promise in character development, literature emphasizes the necessity for ongoing evaluation. Arredondo et al. (1996) advocate for the development of assessment tools that measure ethical growth in counseling contexts. These tools may include reflective journals, behavioral inventories, and moral reasoning scales to document developmental progress.

CONCLUSIONS

The literature affirms that counseling constitutes a pivotal mechanism in shaping the moral and personal dimensions of university students. Across theoretical and empirical studies, counseling has been consistently associated with the development of integrity, emotional maturity, moral reasoning, and prosocial behavior. When embedded within the broader academic environment, counseling extends beyond psychological support to become an educational process that reinforces ethical orientation and self-governance. Its contribution to identity formation, value clarification, and behavioral accountability demonstrates its relevance in contemporary higher education settings.

These findings indicate that counseling should be positioned as a central component in institutional approaches to student development. Rather than being perceived as a reactive service, counseling must be structurally aligned with academic goals and pedagogical frameworks. Effective collaboration between counselors, faculty, and administrative leaders is necessary to ensure continuity between academic instruction and ethical formation. Additionally, cultural sensitivity and reflective practice must be prioritized to ensure counseling addresses the moral complexities of diverse student populations.

Educational institutions are encouraged to integrate counseling into their character development agendas through sustained engagement, institutional support, and empirical evaluation. Professional development for counselors, ethical training modules, and accessible platforms for student reflection are vital components. Future research should further explore the long-term effects of counseling on post-graduation civic behavior, as well as the refinement of assessment tools that capture moral growth among students.

REFERENCES

- Adigwe, P., & Okoro, E. (2016). Human communication and effective interpersonal relationships: an analysis of client counseling and emotional stability. *International Journal of Economics & Management Sciences*, 5(03), 3-6.
- Akrivou, K., & Bradbury-Huang, H. (2015). Educating integrated catalysts: Transforming business schools toward ethics and sustainability. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 14(2), 222-240.
- Arnold, K., Altbach, P. G., & King, I. C. (2014). *College student development and academic life: Psychological, intellectual, social and moral issues*. London: Routledge.
- Arredondo, P., Toporek, R., Brown, S. P., Jones, J., Locke, D. C., Sanchez, J., & Stadler, H. (1996). Operationalization of the multicultural counseling competencies. *Journal of Multicultural Counseling and Development*, 24(1), 42-78.
- Astin, A. W. (1993). *What Matters in College? Four Critical Years Revisited*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Bendermacher, G. W. G., oude Egbrink, M. G., Wolhagen, I. H. A. P., & Dolmans, D. H. (2017). Unravelling quality culture in higher education: a realist review. *Higher education*, 73(1), 39-60.
- Bringle, R. G., Brown, L. A., Hahn, T. W., & Studer, M. (2019). Pedagogies and civic programs to develop competencies for democratic culture and civic learning outcomes. *Bordón: Revista de pedagogía*, 71(3), 27-43.
- Brown, D., & Srebalus, D. J. (1991). *Introduction to the Counseling Profession*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Brown, J. D. (2019). *Reflective practice of counseling and psychotherapy in a diverse society*. UK: Palgrave Macmillan Cham
- Brunstein, J., & King, J. (2018). Organizing reflection to address collective dilemmas: Engaging students and professors with sustainable development in higher education. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 203(1), 153-163.
- Carr, D. (2017). Virtue and character in higher education. *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 65(1), 109-124.
- Chickering, A. W., & Reisser, L. (1993). *Education and Identity* (2nd ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Collins, S., Arthur, N., Brown, C., & Kennedy, B. (2015). Student perspectives: Graduate education facilitation of multicultural counseling and social justice competency. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology*, 9(2), 153-160.
- Corey, G., & Corey, M. S. (1997). *Ethics in Action: A Videotape and Workbook for Learning to Make Ethical Decisions*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Creswell, J. W. (2003). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Crossan, M., Mazutis, D., Seijts, G., & Gandz, J. (2013). Developing leadership character in business programs. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 12(2), 285-305.
- Davis, J. (2015). The impact of role identity on student expectations. *Higher Education, Skills and Work-Based Learning*, 5(1), 42-52.
- Eisenberg, N., & Fabes, R. A. (1998). Prosocial development. In W. Damon (Ed.), *Handbook of Child Psychology* (5th ed.). New York: Wiley.
- Farozin, M., Kurniawan, L., & Irani, L. C. (2020, August). The role of guidance and counseling in character education. In *2nd International Seminar on Guidance and Counseling 2019 (ISGC 2019)* (pp. 112-116). Dordrecht, Netherlands: Atlantis Press.
- Fichter, R. (2018). Do the right thing! Developing ethical behavior in financial institutions. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 151(1), 69-84.
- Gazda, G. M., Ginter, E. J., & Horne, A. M. (2001). *Group Counseling: A Developmental Approach*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Gladding, S. T. (1996). *Counseling: A Comprehensive Profession*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional Intelligence: Why It Can Matter More Than IQ*. New York: Bantam Books.
- Holland, M. M. (2015). Trusting each other: Student-counselor relationships in diverse high schools. *Sociology of Education*, 88(3), 244-262.
- Jenney, T. J., The Impact of Mentoring Relationships in Respect to Character: Faculty Student Relationships as a Predictor of Pro-Social Character Development in College Students (December 22, 2011). Available at SSRN. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.1975871>
- Kadieva, R. I., Shvedov, M. S., & Daitov, V. V. (2014). Professional development of university students. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 30(30), 9-11.
- Kahn, H. E., & Agnew, M. (2017). Global learning through difference: Considerations for teaching, learning, and the internationalization of higher education. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 21(1), 52-64.
- Killen, M., Elenbaas, L., & Rutland, A. (2016). Balancing the fair treatment of others while preserving group identity and autonomy. *Human development*, 58(4-5), 253-272.
- Kleimann, B. (2016). University presidents as wise leaders? Aristotle's *phronêsis* and academic leadership in Germany. In *A handbook of practical wisdom* (pp. 175-195). London: Routledge.
- Kocamaner, H. (2017). Strengthening the family through television: Islamic broadcasting, secularism, and the politics of responsibility in Turkey. *Anthropological Quarterly*, 90(3), 675-714.
- Kohlberg, L. (1981). *Essays on Moral Development, Vol. I: The Philosophy of Moral Development*. San Francisco: Harper & Row.
- Komives, S. R., & Woodard, D. B. (2003). *Student Services: A Handbook for the Profession* (4th ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Loesch, L. C. (1980). Counseling and the instructional process: Toward integration. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 59(2), 88-91.
- Maiese, M. (2016). Affective scaffolds, expressive arts, and cognition. *Frontiers in psychology*, 7(359), 1-11.
- Mertens, D. M. (1998). *Research Methods in Education and Psychology: Integrating Diversity with Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Noddings, N. (1992). *The Challenge to Care in Schools: An Alternative Approach to Education*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Nogueiras, G., Iborra, A., & Kunnen, S. E. (2019). Experiencing transformative learning in a counseling masters' course: A process-oriented case study with a focus on the emotional experience. *Journal of transformative education*, 17(1), 71-95.

- O'Flaherty, J., & McGarr, O. (2014). The use of case-based learning in the development of student teachers' levels of moral reasoning. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 37(3), 312-330.
- Oramas, J. E. (2017). Counseling ethics: Overview of challenges, responsibilities and recommended practices. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 9(3), 47-58.
- Ozdemir, N. K., & İyiyayın, A. A. (2019). Ethical Decision Making for Risky Behaviors: A Model for School Counseling in Turkey. *Ilkogretim Online*, 18(3).
- Paolini, A. (2019). Social Emotional Learning: Role of the School Counselor in Promoting College and Career Readiness. *Anatolian Journal of Education*, 4(1), 1-12.
- Pascarella, E. T., & Terenzini, P. T. (1991). *How College Affects Students: Findings and Insights from Twenty Years of Research*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Rest, J. R. (1986). *Moral Development: Advances in Research and Theory*. New York: Praeger.
- Sampson, J. P., Jr., Kolodinsky, R. W., & Greeno, B. P. (2004). Counseling on the internet: Benefits and challenges. In G. R. Walz, J. C. Bleuer, & R. K. Yep (Eds.), *VISTAS: Compelling Perspectives on Counseling 2004*. Alexandria, VA: American Counseling Association.
- Sanders, M. R., Turner, K. M., & Metzler, C. W. (2019). Applying self-regulation principles in the delivery of parenting interventions. *Clinical child and family psychology review*, 2019(22), 24-42.
- Sue, D. W., & Sue, D. (1990). *Counseling the Culturally Different: Theory and Practice* (2nd ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Travis, R. (2013). Rap music and the empowerment of today's youth: Evidence in everyday music listening, music therapy, and commercial rap music. *Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal*, 30, 139-167.
- Vianden, J., & Barlow, P. J. (2015). Strengthen the bond: Relationships between academic advising quality and undergraduate student loyalty. *The Journal of the National Academic Advising Association*, 35(2), 15-27.
- Warren, C. A. (2015). Conflicts and contradictions: Conceptions of empathy and the work of good-intentioned early career white female teachers. *Urban Education*, 50(5), 572-600.